Juli copy

5728) HD 1694 AZ RA6 A18

### REPORT ON

DIVISION AND USE OF WATER

OF

ST. MARY AND MILK RIVERS.

1918.

Environment Canada Library Saskatoon

PROPERTY OF
P & NR LIBRARY
ENVIRONMENT CANADA
ROOM 300, 2365 ALBERT ST.
REGINA, SASK. CANADA S4P 4K1

To:

The Honourable, the International Joint Commission, Washington, D.C., and Ottawa, Canada.

Gentlemen.

In compliance with the provisions of Clause 9 of your order of May 20th.1918, directing the division of the waters of the St. Mary and Milk rivers between the United States and Canada, we beg to submit the following report upon the season's operations.

As it was impossible for the undersigned to prsonally undertake the field work, Mr. B.E. Jones was delegated to carry on these operations on behalf of Mr. Davis, and Mr. R.J. Murley on behalf of Mr. Drake. These two engineers, working together under our supervision, carried on the work rendered necessary by virtue of the order of the Commission and investigated questions of mutual interest to the Governments of the United States and Canada and, presumably, of interest to the Commission.

It was decided that new joint gaughing stations would be necessary at the intake, at the St. Mary crossing, and at the outlet of the St. Mary canal of the United States Reclamation Service, in order to facilitate the measurement and apportionment of

the waters of the two river systems.

The first work done, therefore, was the selection of sites for these stations which, after their installation, were operated as joint stations. These stations have been equipped with automatic water stage registers, although during the early part of the season these were not available, and they are now of the most modern type throughout, so that complete records can be obtained of the conditions of flow through this canal.

A new station site was also selected on Canyon creek, to measure the inflow into the Sherburne reservoir, and on the north branch of Milk river, above the outlet of the St. Mary canal, to measure the natural flow of this stream. These stations were installed and operated by the United States Geological Survey.

Before the opening of the field season complaints were received from Messrs.C.E.Remington and A.A.Humphrey of Masinasin, Alberta, that the waters of the St.Mary river, carried through the channel of Milk river, were causing damage, by erosion, to lands owned by them. After a conference it was decided that such complaints should be investigated jointly by officers of the United States Reclamation Service and the Canadian Reclamation Service in order to determine the nature and extent of the damage, if any, with a view to facilitating an amicable settlement of the questions involved.

In accordance with this arrangement, Mr.G.E.

Stratton, Project Manager, United States Reclamation

Service, Milk River Project, and Mr.R.J.Burley of the

Canadian Reclamation Service, inspected the lands

with respect to which complaints were made and agreed

as to the facts in each case. Agreements have now

been reached between the U.S.Reclamation Service and

the complainants and it is expected that the documents

will be executed within a short time.

Arrangements were made that the undersigned should make a joint trip of inspection early in July over the districts affected by the order of the Commission but, unfortunately, other pressing engagements prevented Mr.Davis visiting the St.Mary-Milk River District. Later in July, however, Mr.F.H. Newell and Mr.Drake made a trip of inspection with Messrs.Jones and Burley over the principal irrigation

systems on Lodge and Battle creeks and the Frenchman river in Canada and in the lower Milk river valley in Montana, while both, at a later date, separately investigated conditions in the St.Mary river basin.

During the joint inspection trip, Mr.G.E. Stratton. United States Reclamation Service. W.B. Sands, Attorney for the Upper Milk River Waterusers Association, and two members of this association, were met at Govenlock. Saskatchewan. gentlemen were investigating conditions in Canada to ascertain to what extent, if any, water from Battle creek was being used for irrigation purposes in Canada and whether such use was depriving the water users on the lower course of the stream in Montana of their fair share of the flow. inspection satisfied them that the very small quantity of water being diverted by Canadian irrigators would have no appreciable effect upon the supply otherwise available to irrigators in Montana. A later investigation, August 13th and 14th, by Messrs. Jones and Burley confirmed this opinion and showed that, owing to the extremely dry season, the flow in Battle and Lodge creeks was so small as to be negligible in so far as irrigation interests in Montana were concerned.

During the early part of the season there
was ample water available from St.Mary river to
supply all requirements, but during the first half
of June conditions along Milk river became more or
less acute, owing to drought, resulting in a demand
by the owners of the private canals in the lower
Milk river valley for water diverted from the St.
Mary. As a consequence of this the St.Mary canal
of the United States Reclamation Service was
operated at capacity during the latter part of June
and practically the whole of July.

During the period July 27-29 there occurred a sudden and considerable drop in the discharge of the St.Mary river, which necessitated readjustment of the headgates of the St.Mary canal, in order that Canada might receive the supply to which it was entitled under the provisions of Clause 8 of the order of the Commission, dated 24th May, 1918.

On August 1st the Alberta Railway and Irrigation Company informed us that they were not receiving their full supply of water and an investigation was immediately made by the engineers in charge of the work. The records show that, due to the very rapid

fall in the river at this time, a little less than 500 second feet were received by Canada during the period July 29th to August 3rd. During this period the project manager of the United States Reclamation Service cut down his diversion from 400 to 240 second-feet before he was able to equalize the fall in the river. By August 4th, however, all the necessary adjustments had been made and the full supply to which Canada was entitled was again passing down the river.

It was arranged that the headgates of the United States Reclamation Service St.Mary canal should be so operated as to allow not less than 500 second feet to flow past the boundary until such time as the combined flow of the river and canal should fall below 667 second-feet, when the United States should take one-fourth of the total flow, allowing the remaining three-fourths to pass on down the river. The three-fourths clause came into effect during the last week in August when, as a consequence, the diversion by the United States Reclamation Service was reduced to less than 150 second-feet. During the month of August, however,

Canada received a mean flow of 509 second-feet and the United States a mean flow of 253 second-feet.

As Mr. Stratton expressed a wish late in August to get as much St. Mary water as possible during September in order to fill the Nelson reservoir, Mr.S.G.Porter, Superintendent of Operation and Maintenance of the the Alberta Railway and Irrigation Company, agreed, at our suggestion, to limit the diversions by his canal system to 300 second feet. This arrangement would have given the United States Reclamation Service an extra 100 second-feet for diversion from the St. Mary river and Mr.R.M. Snell, engineer in charge at Browning, Montana, was notified to that effect. Owing, however, to heavy rains in the lower Milk river valley during the latter part of August this action was found to be unnecessary, as the natural flow of Milk river increased to such an extent that the reservoir was filled by early September. The headgates of the United States Reclamation Service St. Mary canal were finally closed on the seventh of September, 1918.

No water was stored in the Sherburne reservoir during 1918, owing to the development of a slide at the north end of the dam, but the Nelson reservoir

was filled as stated above. On the Canadian side no water was stored.

Full records of the stream flow and use of water were collected during the season, under the general supervision of the two engineers delegated to represent the undersigned, and these data will be submitted to you as appendices to this report.

Messrs. Jones and Burley also made extensive studies of the seepage losses of the St. Mary river water between the outlet of the St. Mary canal and the first important diversion in the Milk river valley in the United States, a distance by river of over 500 miles. These studies are of considerable importance to the United States Government and it is understood that they will be continued and the results placed at the disposal of the Commission.

Accompanying this report are records of stream and canal flow at the several gauging stations in the Milk and St.Mary river basins. The map shows the location of the gauging stations, and a table, to which the numbers on the map refer, gives the name of each station.

1

Several tables and diagrams have been prepared summarizing the data and showing it graphically.

Table 1 compares the estimated requirements for 1918 with the water actually diverted.

Table 2 contains the information requested by the Commission in paragraph 9 of its order of May 24, 1918, which reads as follows:

"A statement in duplicate showing the water taken in each month by each country and the quantity thereof applied to the land, and also the quantity of water diverted from St. Mary to Milk river and stored or held back by either country."

In addition, a column has been added showing the water wasted by each country. This table does not include water diverted from tributaries of St. Mary and Milk rivers.

Table 3 gives practically the same information as Table 2, but in greater detail; it shows for St.

Mary and Milk rivers the water available, diverted, used, stored, wasted and canal and reservoir losses.

Table 4 gives the available data on stream flow and diversions for the principal northern tributaries of Milk river.

The two hydrographs accompanying the report show the total flow of St. Mary and Milk rivers for the period April to September inclusive. The water diverted from St. Mary river by the United States and Canada has been plotted. Practically the entire flow of Milk river at Dodson during the latter part of May and for June, July and August was diverted or lost by seepage. Water reaching Vandalia during these months was largely return water from irrigation, or seepage from Nelson reservoir.

In conclusion it may be said that the past
season has been one of the driest on record, both as
regards precipitation during the growing season and
run-off from the St.Mary river drainage basin. Further,
while the spring flood on Milk river and its tributaries was large, the flow practically ceased after
the middle of June, rendering the results from
irrigated lands depending upon these sources very
unsatisfactory.

Such conditions naturally resulted in a very heavy demand upon the flow of the St.Mary river, but it is believed that by careful and sympathetic

cooperation the available supply was so divided as to meet all demands which could be fulfilled with the irrigation facilities in existence at the time.

Respectfully submitted,

Accredited Irrigation Officer of His Majesty.

Accredited Reclamation Officer of United States.

#### Description of Tables.

#### Table 1.

Table 2 gives the schedule of water requirements for the year 1918 as supplied to the Commission. For purposes of comparison it gives in parallel columns the water actually diverted during the irrigation season of 1918.

Column 1 gives the estimated requirements in acre-feet. Column 2 gives the water diverted.

Column 3 gives the water diverted and then wasted directly into the river without use. Column 4 gives the difference between columns 2 and 3.

Column 5 gives the monthly requirements expressed as percentages of the total requirements. Column 6 gives the monthly diversions expressed as percentages of the total diversions.

Environment Canada Library Saskatoon The rainfall and tributary run-off for Nelson reservoir were included. There was also added the estimated loss in St.Mary River water in transit down Milk river. This loss occurred between the point of measurement of the United States Reclamation Service St.Mary canal at St.Mary crossing and the first important diversion in the United States on Milk River, the Fort Belknap canal heading near Lohman, Mont.

Water applied to the land. For the United
States Reclamation Service project below Dodson,
figures supplied by the project manager were used.
For the private canals in Milk River valley it was
estimated that 72 per cent of the water diverted
was applied to the land. This gives about half as
great a loss as was found on the Milk River project.
But these canals are short and the water is usually
applied to the land directly from the main canal.
So that the only losses would be those in the main

Water stored in Nelson reservoir. Water turned into the reservoir was assumed to include rainfall and tributary run-off. The quantities given are the excess of inflow over outflow for each month.

## Estimated and Autual Water Requirements Season 1918.

			CANADA.			
Month	Estimated require- ment Acre-feet.	Biverted Acre-feet.	Wasted Acre-feet	Balance H J.Acre-fee	Estimated.	ntage. Actual
April	1,264	857	643	214	1	•2
May	10,115	24,103	4,637	19,466	8	14.3
June	37,932	32,668	3,561	29,107	30	21.3
July	37,932	35,294	465	34,829	30	25.6
August	25,288	28,161	3,652	24,509	20	18.
September	r 12,644	22,731	1,300	21,431	10	15.7
October	1,264	9,984	3,296	6,688	_1	4.9
Totals	126,439	153,798	17,554	136,244	100	100

	UNITED STATES											
Month	Estimated requirement Acre-feet.	Diverted Acre-feet.	Wasted Acre-feet.	Balance Acre-feet	Percentage. Estimated.Actual							
April	1,000	1,187	90	1,097	1	1						
May	8,000	11,841	885	10,956	8	11						
June	30,000	22,705	1,600	21,105	30	22						
July	33,000	28,314	2,495	25,819	33	27						
August	20,000	28,264	1,465	26,799	20	27.7						
Septembe	er 7,000	11,243	850	10,393	7	10,7						
October.	1,000	1,868	1,265	603	_1	0.6						
Totals	100,000	105,422	8,650	96,772	100	100						

Nater diverted from St.Mary River to Milk

River. For June the flow at the gauging station at

Douglas bridge on the Hudson Bay divide was used,

and 8 second-feet added for seepage between St.Mary

Crossing and Douglas bridge. One day was allowed

for water to flow from St.Mary crossing to Douglas

bridge; so the flow at Douglas bridge June 3 to

July 1 was assumed the same as at St.Mary crossing

June 2 to 30. The flow at St.Mary crossing July 1

to 5 was obtained from the flow at Douglas bridge

in the same manner. July 6 to September 8 the water

diverted was measured at the gauging station at St.

Mary crossing.

Water diverted by United States. - Data were obtained from gauging stations at the heads of the following canals:

Fort Belknap canal near Chinook

Paradise Canal near Chinook

Harlem Canal near Zurich

Agency ditch near Harlem

Dodson North and South canals near Dodson.

Water wasted by the United States. This water was returned to the river largely from the canals, but 640 acre-feet was wasted from Nelson reservoir. Most of this water, as well as part of the seepage losses from Nelson reservoir, was re-diverted at Vandalia dam.

Water diverted by Canada. This water was measured directly at the intake of the Alberta Railway and Irrigation Company's canal at Kimball.

Water wasted by Canada. The quantities shown in this column were turned out of the A.R.& I.Canal at Spring coulee and Magrath, returning thence to the river below all points of diversion.

Water applied to land by Ganada. The quantity shown in this column is the flow in the canal at Magrath where the use of water begins, and represents the total diversion less the water wasted and less the seepage and evaporation losses between the intake and Magrath. There is, of course, an additional evaporation and seepage loss between this point and the end of the canal system which it has been found impracticable as yet. to measure.

USE OF WATER

### St. Mary - Milk River Basins.

April to October, 1918.

	Diverted St. Mary	Div	erted	Was	ted	Applie	d to the land	St	or ed	
Month.	to Milk Acre- feet	Canada Acre- feet.	a. United States. Acre- feet.	Canad Acre- feet	United a States acre- feet	Canada Acre- feet	United States acre- feet	Chin Reservoir Acre- feet	Nelson reservoir Acre- feet.	
April		857	1,187	643	90	163	75		660	
May		24,103	11,841	4,637	885	18,020	3,289	No .	4,231	
June	16,600	32,668	22,705 <sup>a</sup>	3,561	1,600	27,147	12,638	storage	0	
July	24,100	35,294	28,314	465	2,495	32,711	12,908		0	
August	15,600	28,161	28,264	3,652	1,465	22,819	3,408		11,447	
Sept.	1,730	22,731	11,243	1,300	850	20,067	462		7,190	
October		9,984	1,868	3,296	1,265	6,089	47		137	
Total.	58,030	153,798	105,422	17,554	8,650	127,016°	32,827		23,665	

a/ Includes some wasted water and seepage diverted twice.

b/ Includes St. Mary water lost by seepage and evaporation in Milk river.

c/ Includes evaporation and seepage losses in A.R.& I. Canal below Magrath.

### Description of Tables.

#### Table 3.

0

Total flow of St.Mary River. This total is the sum of flow of St.Mary River near International Boundary and United States Reclamation Service St. Mary canal at St.Mary crossing.

Water diverted from St.Mary River to Milk River.For June the flow at the gauging station at Douglas
bridge on the Hudson Bay divide was used, and 8
second-feet added for seepage between St.Mary crossing
and Douglas bridge. One day was allowed for water to
flow from St.Mary crossing to Douglas bridge; so the
flow at Douglas bridge June 3 to July 1 was assumed
the same as at St.Mary crossing June 2 to 30. The
flow at St.Mary crossing July 1 to 5 was obtained
from the flow at Douglas bridge in the same manner.
July 6 to September 8 the water diverted was measured
at the gaging station at St.Mary crossing. Douglas
bridge is about 2 miles above the outlet of the canal.

Total flow North and South Forks at Boundary. This total was obtained by adding the natural flow of North Fork of Milk river near International Boundary to the flow of South Fork of Milk river near International Boundary. The natural flow of North Fork during the operation of the United States

Reclamation Service St.Mary canal was obtained from the flow at Martins ranch, about 2 miles above the outlet of the canal and above 4 miles above the boundary.

Flow of Milk River at Eastern Crossing. Obtained from records at the International gauging station at Eastern crossing.

Flow of Milk River at Havre. Obtained from records at the gauging station at Havre, Mont.

Water diverted by Milk River canals. - Data were obtained from gauging stations at the heads of the following canals:

Fort Belknap canal near Chinook

Paradise canal near Chinook

Harlem canal near Zurich

Agency ditch near Harlem

Dodson North and South canals near Dodson

The rainfall and tributary run-off for Nelson

reservoir was included.

Water applied to the land. For the United States Reclamation Service project below Dodson, figures supplied by the project manager were used. For the private canals in Milk River valley it was estimated that 72 per cent of the water diverted was applied to the land.

Water stored in Nelson reservoir. Water turned into the reservoir was assumed to include rainfall and tributary run-off. The column headed "Stored or released" shows the difference between the inflow and outflow for the month. This difference, plus the losses, gives the changes in amount in the reservoir at the end of each month, as shown in the next column.

Losses in St.Mary canal and Milk River. These
losses occurred between the point of measurement of
the United States Reclamation Service St.Mary canal
at St.Mary crossing and Havre, Mont., about 20 miles
upstream from the first important diversion in the
United States on Milk river, the Fort Belknap canal
heading near Lohman, Mont. The results given are based
on stream flow records of St.Mary canal at St.Mary
crossing, St.Mary canal at Outlet, North and South
Forks of Milk river near International Boundary,
Milk river at Havre, and estimated tributary inflow.

Losses from Milk River canals. These figures were obtained by subtracting the water applied to the land, wasted, or stored from the water diverted.

Losses from Nelson reservoir. These losses include evaporation and seepage. The results for September are apparently too low, possibly because of an error in the table of volumes for the reservoir. e

Water wasted by canals and Nelson reservoir.—
This water was returned to the river by the canals, except 640 acre-feet which was wasted from Nelson reservoir. Part of this water, as well as part of the seepage losses from Nelson reservoir, was rediverted at Vandalia dam.

Water wasted, Milk river at Vandalia. This column shows the flow below Vandalia dam, which is the only water wasted without chance of further use in the Milk River valley.

#### Canada.

Water available. This total is the sum of the flow of the St.Mary river at Kimball plus the natural flow of the tributaries entering the river below that point, but does not include that part of the natural flow diverted by the United States Reclamation Service St. Mary canal.

Disposition, - Under this heading the first column shows the total diversion by the Alberta Railway & Irrigation Company; the second column the water returned to the river via the wasteways down Pinepound and Pothole creeks; the third the evaporation and seepage losses in the canal between Kimball and Magrath; and the fourth column shows the total flow at the mouth

of the St. Mary and represents the water which was not diverted from the river by either the United States or Canada.

		WATEL.	AVAILABLE.	The state of the s				DISPOSITION.		
Fonth	et Kimball	Pinepound Creek	Rolph Creek	Pothole Creek	. Lee Creek	Combined flow	A.R. & I.	A.R. & I.	Losses A.R. & I.	Stohary at Lethbridge
	Ac.ft.	Ac.ft.	Ac. ft.	Ac. It.	Aceft.	Ac.tt.	Ac. ft.	Ac.ft.	Ac.ft.	Ac.ft.
April May June July August September October	79.332 115.290 167.444 48.760 31.297 27.372 24.042	494 141 111 39 338 452 430	369 344 286 387 510 345 39	174 227 42 Hil	4.939 7.010 4.244 1.414 701 762 800 c	45,308 123,012 172,227 50,600 32,846 28,931 25,311	857 24,103 32,668 35,294 20,161 22,731 9,984	643 4,637 31561 465 3,652 1,300 3,206	51 1,446 1,980 2,118 1,690 1,364 599	46.770 116.089 153.758 16.000 c 8.400 c 7.500 c
Total	453,537	2,005 b	2,280 d	443 b	19,970 ъ	478,235	185,798	17,554	9,238 x	367,117 a

## UNITED STATE.

dispersion property and the second	TATEL VILLET					DIV TOTAL AUGUD HOUGOT RECORVOIR							
Nonth	Total Diverted Flow St. Mary St. Mary to Milk River River		Filk River at eastern crossing	Milk River at Mavre	Diverted by Filk River Canals	Appl'd to the land Gross	Stored or re- lessed	In Res. end of month	Nelson Res.	Total	By Milk R. Canals and Nelson Reservoir	Milk River at Vandalia	
	Ac. ft. Ac.ft.	Ac.ft.	Ac.ft.	Ac. it.	Acorto.	Acert.	AC. Tt.	Acotto	Aceft.	Acett.	Ac.ft.	Ac. to	
June July August Septem October	39,300 661 116,000 1887 183,600 308616,600 72,900 42624,100 46,900 4215,600 29,130 476 1,730 20,900 283	14,090 10,980 5,240 2,700 1,920 2,050 2,150	21,300 15,800 16,400 23,000 18,400 5,860	35,700 16,000 13,900 19,900 15,900 5,830	1,187 11,845 20,215 22,554 22,944 15,868	20,530 21,539 10,032	1660 44.231 41.915 41.480 41.147 47.190	16,200 18,400 13,800 10,500 19,800 26,700	1.410 2.031 2.685 1.820 2.200 290 2,037	1,410 2,031 5,175 7,580 7,520 1,205 2,037	90 885 1,600 2,495 1,850 850	4.44.000 34.200 3.530 1.810 53.300 4.840	
a - Som	11,730 58,030 e of this water we ow all pints of di	39,130 as again diverte iversion	103,430 ed at Vendalis	2	90,937	62,017			12,473	26,058	8,650 a	551,980 b	

a - Below all points of diversion
b - Natural flow only
c - Computed
d - Include some seepage water from St. Kary Canal U.S.R.S.

e - Astimated : - Only includes evaporation and seepage between headgate and Magrath where diversion to land owneres.

In connection with the attached tables showing the diversions made/rom Battle and Lodge creeks and the Frenchman river, it should be explained that the Canadian Reclamation Service is largely dependent upon the irrigators themselves for such records, as in the majority of cases, the diversions are too small to justify the expense of appointing and paying gauge observers in each case. As a consequence of this, the records are the incomplete and of doubtful value in a report such as this, since they probably do not show the total diversions. It is believed, however, that they show with a fair degree of accuracy, all the diversions during the period June 15th to October 31st.

It should be stated also that the records at the International station on Battle creek do not include the flow of the East Fork of this stream, and the records of Battle creek near Chinook will therefore give a better idea of the flow of this stream which is available to irrigators in Montana.

## FRENCHIAN RIVER BASIN.

## Diversion in acre-feet.

Irrigator	May	June	July	Total	_
F. Cross	52 52	143 37	83 6	278 95	
Maple Creek Cattle				274	
T.A. Drury	Small	Lamount		647	

### LODGE CREEK.

No data collected.

## BATTLE CREEK BASIN.

# Diversion in acre- feet.

Irrigator	April	May	June	July	August	Sept.	Oct.	motal.
Lindner Bros.	88	83	151 791	119	0 172	0 178	0 424	441 1803
*Marshall & Gaff .S.J.Richardson	Used	for		Octo		and		118
J. McKinnon Stirling & Nash	Used	June 6	1st to 339	Cctob 69	er 31st			394 414 67
F.W. Henry H.J. Badger J.M. Spangler			22	8	0	7	28	67 25 65 18
Wood + Anderson		3						3345

<sup>\*</sup> A considerable portion of this water returned to the creek by seepage from the canal above the irrigable lands of Mr. Gaff.