

Recipient: The Governor of NY and IJC Commissioners

Letter: Greetings,

We the undersigned are opposed to Plan 2014 , former Plan BV7 currently being promoted by the International Joint Commission for the following summary reasons:

The principal purpose for the proposed implementation of a change to water levels is to restore / improve the quality of the wetlands. There has been no update of any data since the last reported data of the Lake Ontario- St. Lawrence River (LOSLR) Study (2000-2006).

On September 15, 2004, at a public meeting of the LOSL PIAG (Lake Ontario-St. Lawrence River Study - Public Interest Advisory Group) held in North Rose, NY, 1 1/2 years before the end of the LOSL study, the chief contractor for the Coastal Technical Working Group was advised that property values, as indicated by increased tax assessments, had risen. Since this was a critical feature for the development of Coastal Damages, this contractor should have revisited the data before critical decision-making based on his information. Since the original LOSL Study, the Town of Greece, NY has reassessed its shoreline properties two more times and at no time has any assessment values decreased in value.

The cost for shoreline protection has been documented to have been 2 to 3 times undervalued in 2005. In today's dollars it is much more.

Damages:

There is still a large disproportionate loss concentrated on the south shore. The total annual damages for coastal and recreational boating are disproportionately centered on the five south-shore counties, with the majority in Monroe County.

Spring Storm/Flooding Risk: As seen below on the chart, the risk for levels above 247.3 during the spring storm period of March thru May has increased substantially.

Impacts on public infrastructure, sewers and septic systems in particular, are not taken into consideration. During high water levels (247' and above), sewer systems from the Niagara River to Greece, through Sodus Point and up to

Watertown will be flooded and cease functioning - - a health and environmental issue of major proportions.

2,200 signers below:

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Maxine Appleby	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-07	We're signing because Sodus Point will be under water. High water will cause an increase in erosion
Jayne & Michael Malcolm	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-07	If the water levels reach 248 our home and village will be flooded -
JoEllen Arney	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-07	If it goes to 248' and above my families business will flooded.
Gale Kordzikowski	Soduss Point, NY	2012-05-07	Higher Water levels will cause more even erosion to all beach front properties as well as flooding those on the bay/loop area of Sodus Point
Mary C. Harnden	Madison, IN	2012-05-07	My niece lives on Sodus Bay..Just a beautiful area. Would hate to see this happen!!! It has been 12 years since the last report. Seems as though further study is needed. Too many instances of Jump first and then look at results.
Domenico Bartucca	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-08	Home is in flood zone
Carter Brown	webster, NY	2012-05-08	I am from sodus and the point is a place that I enjoy going to relax and have fun.
William Sarver	North Rose, NY	2012-05-08	New home build over the water of Sodus Bay according to guidelines in place one year ago. Higher water will cause me a problem.
Donald Day	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-08	For decades shoreline residents have developed their property trusting that water levels will be managed at a specified level. breaking this trust will be devastating to property owners.
Kevin Herrick	Rochester, NY	2012-05-08	There are many valid reasons for controlling the lake level as carefully as possible, as has been done for the past several decades. The shoreline suffers much damage now, even with the tighter controls in place, raising the levels even slightly, will cause extreme damage....I HAVE YET TO HEAR EVEN ONE VALID REASON to allow the levels to go higher or lower.....not even one! Lets see a comparision of good to bad results from a change such as this. Put it out in front of the public..... Show us why its a good idea to intentionally destroy homes and property.
Christopher Daddus	Delaware, OH	2012-05-08	I own a cottage on Eagle Island.
Wayne Wood	Williamson, NY	2012-05-08	We own property in the town of Huron.
Lynda Robeson	Pittsford, NY	2012-05-08	We have a cabin on Sodus Bay and have seen firsthand the catastrophic damage caused to the bay community. Without help, the area will suffer terribly.
Robert White	Rochester, NY	2012-05-08	I own a sailboat and have sailed for many years on Lake Ontario out of Sodus Bay. I am convinced that Plan BV7, as I know it, is flawed and will cause serious damage to Sodus Bay and the shoreline both to property and infrastructure if implemented.
Patricia Costanzo	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-08	Wetlands are important, but without data how can their health be accurately assessed and, to be remembered - human animals are important, too.
Kevin McGuire	Walcott, NY	2012-05-08	Higher water levels may cause erosion to Cresent Beach to the point where Lake Ontario could break through to Sodus Bay creating more erosion and damage to bay front properties.
Arthur Williams	Newark, NY	2012-05-08	Both high and low water extremes will wipe out homes and the economy. Has anyone inquired of the Government backed flood insurance program as to their thoughts on the claims and effects on premiums?

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Mark Moore	Jersey City, NJ	2012-05-08	As a property owner on Lakestone Drive. the low-lying area below Wickham Drive, higher water levels will have potentially egregious effects on our neighborhood.
John Becker	Seneca Falls, NY	2012-05-08	Have lived on Lake Ontario for 73 years and have seen what damage Spring high water can do.
Thomas Piekunka	Webster, NY	2012-05-08	Our property at 8646 Greig street, SodusPt will be flooded with plan BV7. The top of our breakwall is 248.0'. Our neighbors floors are also constructed at 248.0'. The lake at 247' with waves will flood our property. If the lake level goes to 248 who will compensate us for the property damage and will our assessments & taxes be lowered dramatically? Our family has lived and payed taxes on this property for the past 65 years. The BV7 plan will most likely change the minds of many residents to live in Sodus Point. The BV7 plan is not right.
carol chittenden	victor, NY	2012-05-08	Although I am very concerned for the wetlands, we need to look at the erosion of Crescent Beach, which is about to break the island in half - when it goes, the Bay becomes the Lake.
Laurey Ritchie	Webster, NY	2012-05-08	If the water level reaches 248 feet and there is any wind, I will be flooded. I will lose my property if this high level is extended for any length of time. The riparian residents have depended on the promise made in 1958 that their properties would be protected by regulated water levels..The IJC "new approach" represents a broken promise and the disingenuous damage calculations are insulting.
Joy Piekunka	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-08	With the proposed increase in lake level, we stand to lose our cottage that has been in the family for 68 years!!!! Who will compensate us for this enormous loss of property?
Donna Chittenden	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-08	I live on Sodus Bay and replaced a cottage in 1982 with a home built to the specifications at the time. It will be flooded with the new criteria.
Brian Hegedorn	Webster, NY	2012-05-08	Plan BV7 will harm our local economy – it will damage property and have a negative impact on boating.
Michael Sullivan	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-08	Plan BV7 will destroy a large portion of Sodus Point through flooding. It is highly likely that the public sewer system will be incapacitated which will render most of the village uninhabitable.
William Healy	New York, NY	2012-05-08	Lake house on Charles Point , NY in danger during periods of high water in addition to receding shoreline.
Philip Miglioratti	Webster, NY	2012-05-08	This plan is poorly conceived and it will cause significant public and private property damage. I am a boater and lake front property owner that sees no good coming from this
Allison Saiff	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-08	I'm signing because I live in Sodus Point and it is my home. I work as a life guard on the beach. I will not have a job if our shoreline is destroyed.
Deborah Valentine	Penfield, NY	2012-05-08	High spring water will cause irreversible damage to the shoreline and bring to a halt the tourism business to Sodus Point. As a cottage owner we are in fear of not only our investment but the future of this beautiful area.
Alfred Miller	North Rose, NY	2012-05-08	I have seen Sodus Point under water caused by a hurricane.This will happen again if plan BV7 is put in operation and cause millions of dollars of property damage and serious sanitation issues.
John H McGuire	Huron, NY	2012-05-08	Millions of dollars has been invested to accommodate current Lake levels. Docks, break-walls and coastal protection such as Crescent Beach that protects LeRoy, Newark, and Eagle Island will be wiped out if this plan is approved & implemented....

Name	Location	Date	Comment
SARAH WOODARD	PITTSFORD, NY	2012-05-08	There needs to be a public comment period where are affected parties can air their concerns and comments.
Amy Schlafley	Manistee, MI	2012-05-08	This area has some of the best fishing on the Great Lakes. Low water levels will have an impact to boaters. If you read the plan the water will be allowed to go below 242'
Lyle Shughart	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-08	I am very concerned about the lake and the beach.
Howard and Cory Potter	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-08	While much data has been collected on the impact of the current regulation plan on species such as the great northern pike, the muskrat and others, as well as the "predicted" improvements to those species(noted in dollars), little data has been collected on the impact to the other species that occupy this environment----namly the human population or the dollar impact on them. The study that fostered BV7 is fatally flawed and certainly should not be used in any fashion to change the current mode of regulation to Lake Ontario and its tributaries!
Sarah Costich	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-08	My entire childhood and now adult life was/is spent in Sodus Bay on Crescent Beach. I taught sailing for 5 years at the Sodus Bay Junior Sailing Association. Who is going to be responsible when residences, businesses, public parks, etc are damaged/destroyed?
Betty Jane Minkau	Sodus, NY	2012-05-08	Tax base will be lost if property owners lose their property.due to high water.
James Ebmeyer	Penfield, NY	2012-05-08	<p>The current IJC management plan has been working pretty well for years. The animals, fish, insects, flora and fauna, etc. have adjusted over the 50 years the Seaway has existed. While the wetlands may not experience the highs and lows of 50+ years ago, they have adjusted as well.</p> <p>If Plan BV7 is implemented, who will pay for the damage that high water does to homes, businesses and lives? The potential loss of tax revenue from damaged/abandon properties could be SIGNIFICANT, let alone the impact on people's lives.</p> <p>The Canadian shore is less likely to get the high winds and resulting extremely high water, that the New York shoreline. so, naturally, the Canadian sentiments are less opposed to the proposal</p> <p>If water depths in Montreal are a problem for large ships, it will be much cheaper to dredge there, than pay for the potential impact of Plan BV7.</p>
Yvette Indovina	Rochester, NY	2012-05-08	My family has a home directly on the water in Sodus Point. I want this area to have a good water quality and be taken care of with the residents in mind. The property taxes are high and that is OK, as long as ONLY the proper actions are taken by officials.
Dennis Chasse	Newark, NY	2012-05-08	Lake levels that BV7 proposes would affect damages in ways we have never seen before - Sodus Bay, as we know it now, would most likely be gone.
Sharon Pedersen	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-08	Very concerned about water levels in our area.
Jay Olson	Williamson, NY	2012-05-09	We have a cottage in Huron, NY on Lake Ontario. Our cottages will be at risk.
Laurie Copp	Fairport, NY	2012-05-09	Our family cottage is on the body of land that separates Sodus Bay and Lake Ontario and this will greatly impact us - the erosion is bad right now and if nothing is done there will be no Sodus Bay!!!
Maxine Appleby	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-09	Hi Everyone - we're over 300!! Pass out this address so it's easy to remember: www.STOPplanBv7.com
Stephen Waffle	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-10	The top of our break is at 247.4 feet. This proposal could cause flooding.

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Gail Quataert	Norfolk, VA	2012-05-10	I come there every summer- to crescent beach- and I would stop coming if there was going to be flooded sewage systems, too high water, erosion due to flooding etc. Tourism money is at stake also.
Erin Wanek	North Rose, NY	2012-05-10	The IJC claims that this new plan will improve the health of Lake Ontario and St. Lawrence River. I do not think the consequences of this plan are completely understood. We do know that the higher levels can and will cause flooding in populated areas. Flooding causes human debris and potentially human waste to enter the water. Is that better for the health of our lake and river? A lot of time and money has been put into research/development of this plan. That alone is not a valid reason for going forward with a change.
Donna Traister	Wolcott, NY	2012-05-10	The increased of water levels will destroy several properties throughout NYS. Who will be responsible for the damages???
Robert Verrone	Webster, NY	2012-05-10	I am certain there will be damage to our investment of over 70000 in preserving our lake front.
Gail Nelli	Leicester, NY	2012-05-11	The private property and communities that line the southern shore of Lake Ontario will no doubt incur flooding if not obliteration of the lands which may go underwater permanently. The village of Sodus Point where I grew up will probably be uninhabitable and the barrier bar between Lake Ontario and Sodus Bay where I and my sisters own cottages would also probably go underwater making the Bay no longer a separate body of water from the lake. What are they thinking?
Mike Novik	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-11	We live in Sodus Point and witness the terrible erosion to our coast lines every year. Our seasonal business would be drastically affected if our beaches disappear and our marinas die from high waters in our harbors!
jill torrey	manning, SC	2012-05-11	no updated information. Having lived in that area for 65 yrs. I know first hand that BV7 would not accomplish what they are proposing. The area would be devastated to the point of non-existence.
Robert J Nelli	Leicester, NY	2012-05-11	Plan BV7 does not represent the interests of NY residents and businesses along the south shore of Lake Ontario. There have been no new studies done by the IJC since 2000 and the decisions being made are not founded on accurate data about our shoreline. It is insulting that the IJC is trying to push this plan through without proper study. My wife's family owns property on the Crescent Beach barrier bar between Sodus Bay and Lake Ontario and for over 40 years maintained a residence in Sodus Point. Both the barrier bar and the village of Sodus Point are in serious danger of being flooded out should this pass. You should be ashamed of yourselves for promoting this plan when you know full well the damage it will cost to these two areas as well as other southern shoreline business and residences in New York.
Gregg Piatt	Wolcott, NY	2012-05-11	This could impact my cottage and my boating season, why the need for change after all these years. Commercial interests is my opinion.
Laura Alvin	Hawthorne, QLD, Australia	2012-05-11	Because I feel strongly the need to protect the shoreline and stop the erosion of land and the destruction of businesses and homes.
Aaron Riscignolo	Rochester, NY	2012-05-11	I'd say time for plan B!

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Joe Czarnecki	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-11	<p>Our water line here in Sodus Point is as high as it dares to go. Our shore line is disappearing very fast from wave erosion and the high winds which help drive the waves, which also help to increase the Lake Water Level during these wind events. We have witnessed many feet of beach erosion in almost every major storm coming from the Northwest!</p> <p>Please reconsider this idea of allowing our Lake Ontario's water level to increase! Many homes are sitting in very low levels at our shores edges, as well as homes which sit on the crests of the different Lake Bluffs.</p> <p>As a business owner, our guests to our Bed and Breakfast will be non-existent. Our Season is already very short. This stupid idea of increasing the depth of our Lake Ontario, will be the "nail in our coffin", not just for our business, but all businesses in Sodus Point and other businesses around Greater Sodus Bay!</p>
Dan Barletta	Rochester, NY	2012-05-11	BV7 is not only bad for Sodus Point but the entire shoreline of Lake Ontario
John Hinsman	NY, NY	2012-05-12	Water levels as proposed in Plan BV7 will destroy my bayside cottage on Sodus Point.
Glenda Hinsman	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-12	Lake levels as proposed in Plan BV7 will put most of Sodus Point, NY underwater.
Michael Shults	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-12	This plan proposes to directly destroy waterfront homes, businesses and communities. It is actually worse than an act of war, since it would be unlikely that even mass bombing strikes could produce the comprehensive region-wide destruction that will result from willfully inundating the entire shoreline of a Great Lake.
Marlene Ferguson	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-12	I'm all for promoting/saving wetlands, but the current environment in Sodus Point has been established and any change in "plan" would not only negatively impact wetlands but a whole community of PEOPLE who live, work, play and do business in Sodus Point. Save the People.
Bruce Farrington	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-12	Ny
Robert VanEe	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-12	I've seen no communication that would indicate the changes are economic or needed. In fact, all information indicates the plan is a milky-minded, biased, contra-indicated mess-up.
Alan Traister	Wolcott, NY	2012-05-12	Sodus Bay will cease to exist if this plan is adopted. It will all become lake front. Homes, docks and sewer infrastructure will be destroyed. The IJC group has essentially said that they have not looked at all the possibilities concerning wave action and its effects on shorelines, homes and businesses.
Alan Isselhard	Wolcott, NY	2012-05-13	Read the reasons why the IJC wants to endorse Plan BV7 and you will conclude as I did - there is no reasonable justification for doing so considering the perils higher water levels will present - namely flooding and erosion.
Linda Kaplan	Rochester, NY	2012-05-13	I have lived on the shore of southern Lake Ontario for over 15 years and if the level of the lake is raised, my house will be underwater as will the Monroe County Pumping Station across the street.
Cheryl Stevens	Hilton, NY	2012-05-13	This winter (2011/012, under the current 1958 Order of approval the West Waves battered our windows and siding for 71 days. If the level is raised with Bv7, we will have that water in our living room. I have movies (at least 25) showing the scary levels the lake already is at. We have dealt with it, but we don't think it's fair to change the rules after 60 years when we built to the present order of approval. The infrastructure all around the towns bordering the lake will also be affected. How can you think you can cause all that damage to us??

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Heather Lee Murphy	Hilton, NY	2012-05-13	I have lived on the Lake Ontario shore in Hilton, NY for 12 years. My home had a break wall when I bought it in 2000 and I never thought would have a problem and that my property would be safe. The recent high waters have caused a set of lake side cement stairs to be undermined and they are starting to pitch significantly into the lake. Also the water is so high that the water is actually getting under my breakwall. I returned home from work on 5/8/12 to discover that the recent rains had caused a sink hole to swallow up my garden directly opposite my break wall. The lake wave action has slowly been scooping out the dirt in my garden in the south side of my breakwall. Finally a heavy rain storm made this scooped out cavity collapse. I'm distraught. If the BV7 is approved I will have even further damage to my property. I now will have to pay upwards of \$2000 or more to protect my property from further erosion and damage. Unfair to say the least!
Henry S. Stewart	Rochester, NY	2012-05-13	The efforts to promote Plan BV7 are being conducted in closed-door fashion in a manner quite contrary to the articulated intentions and methods of the LOSLR Study, regarding which I served as a Public Interest Advisory Group (PIAG) member.
Richard & Joanne Henry	Oswego, NY	2012-05-13	As Riparians we are paying 95% of the bill; i.e. prop. losses WITHOUT compensation, nor preliminary Mitigation. BV7 is FAR from balanced and will crush localities over the next few years after ratification with loss(es) of assessments and tax revenues. Putting still heavier loads on "survivors" along the Shore.
Paul Ferington	Barker, NY	2012-05-14	I am a shoreline property owner suffering the consequences of unreasonable and needlessly destructive high lake levels. When muskrats start to pay taxes, I'll possibly consider any merits of the BV7 plan!!
Matthew Bielaska	Rochester, NY	2012-05-14	Damage to property, roads, utilities and infrastructure due to the proposed higher lake levels
Jeffrey Gould	Webster, NY	2012-05-14	This proposed plan violates the compact made to shoreline residents when the Seaway was first built. This proposed change will likely destroy our shoreline protection and take our home with it.
Donald Capuano	Rochester, NY	2012-05-14	You (politicians) took my father's and my grandfather's land. Since the dam was built I have lost shore and don't like it. Next is my cottage on cinder blocks - not a mansion. Of course I will work to get politicians who vote for raising lake levels out of office. Land of the Free - Hah -
Robert Stevens	Hilton, NY	2012-05-14	The IJC is changing the rules and putting many homes in jeopardy for flooding. The riparians have not been taken into consideration as being part of the environment that the IJC claims to be protecting. The infrastructure of all the towns and cities bordering the lake are also in jeopardy.
John Rudgers	Rochester, NY	2012-05-14	I own lake front property that has been damaged in the past. I also have concerns for other publicly used property such as Durand Eastman Park Beach, and other surrounding inlets and waterways.
James Murrer	Rochester, NY	2012-05-14	I don't believe the people considering this change to the Lake Ontario levels have any idea how much damage will be caused. I know they use computer models, but they are run with old data and estimates that are way outdated. Further, these models are no substitute for the knowledge of the people who have live through storms along the lake for many years.
David Dimpleby	Hilton, NY	2012-05-14	The proposed plan could have a serious adverse effect to the primary users of the south shore of Lake Ontario.
Tara Salamone	hilton, NY	2012-05-14	As a home owner on the lake this will negatively impact our properties.

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Jack Steinkamp	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-14	<p>Plan BV7 has the potential to bankrupt my community and, at the same time, endanger the health and safety of many people from Niagara to Cape Vincent.</p> <p>When sewer, water, electric and gas lines are damaged, by the higher (or lower) water, who is going to compensate the devastated communities?</p> <p>How many septic systems will now flow into the lakes and bays? How does sediment load from eroding soil help fish& wildlife? Isn't this what causes the alge to grow? What about the eagle, bank swally & heron nesting sites that will be destroyed?</p> <p>What will the IJC, DEC, DOS and other unelected & unaccountable "regulators" do once the shoreline properties and businesses are damaged? How will the state recoup the lost property taxes from devalued properties.</p> <p>Don't live on the lake or bays? Don't think it's your problem? THINK AGAIN!</p> <p>We all own, and will have to pay for lost state park lands & public infrastructure. We ALL lose the beaches. We all lose public shore protection, public docks and public boat launches. We all will pay for dredging of navigation chanelns</p> <p>It is a CRIME that the very agencies and departments we expect to protect us are making lake ontario and bay residents PAWNS in their one-sided game of poor science and hidden agendas and alliances.</p> <p>EVERYONE IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK WIILL PAY FOR THE DAMAGES CAUSE BY BV7!!!</p>
Mary Reed	Hilton, NY	2012-05-14	<p>I am signing because the factual data to support BV7 is faulty and incomplete. As a homeowner on Lake Ontario for almost forty years, this plan could well result in the loss of my home.</p>
Ed Scutt	Rochester, NY	2012-05-14	<p>I have friends who live on the lake shore and have concerns about this.</p>
suzanne phillips	rochester, NY	2012-05-14	<p>I am a resident on the south shore of Lake Ontario and have seen first hand the great damage to the shoreline and lakeside property owners from high lake levels and storm damage.</p>
Sue Ritzenthaler	Hilton, NY	2012-05-14	<p>An agreement was made when the St Lawrence Seaway was put in place that was to protect shoreline residents, business and the environment. Based on that, homes have been built, businesses have been established and towns have built their sewer and water systems , ALL with that agreement in mind. BV7 is one sided and proposes that the environment will be bettered. Where is that proof? And how will it be bettered when sewage is spewed into the lake? Or when the lake extends into current wetlands and upsets that balance? BV7 should be done away with, once and for all.</p>
Kathleen Noon	Hamlin, NY	2012-05-14	<p>The BV7 plan is not fair to property owners along the south shore of the lake. When homes and businesses are gone, the tax roles will be greatly reduced. At least think about that if you won't consider any reparations.</p>
Alicia Zambito	Glenville, NY	2012-05-14	<p>I grew up living beside Lake Ontario and my parents still have a house there. Wetlands may be important but people's home are also important and need to be taken into consideration. We need to save our waterfront homes.</p>

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Diane Elliott	Rochester, NY	2012-05-14	Fourteen years ago, we bought our shoreline property after a careful review of lake levels and their impact over the past 50+ years. What we could not take into account was the unanticipated threat created by Plan BV7. If a Nor'Easter hits when the lake level reaches the extremes allowed by BV7, our house and property will be flooded. The IJC's Plan BV7 makes such a disaster a virtual certainty.
Kathryn Scheuerlein	Williamson, NY	2012-05-14	My childhood sandy beach at Holland's Cove is GONE - and so is a lot of my friends' point at Hughes Marina (Fairbanks Point)
Fred Eilber	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-14	If the water levels get too high our home will be flooded.
David McNair	Webster, NY	2012-05-15	Allowing the water to raise higher than presently allowed, will threaten my cottage at Crescent Beach, Huron NY. Allowing the water to raise higher will also threaten my property at 1744 Lake Road Webster, NY
Lawrence White	Youngstown, NY	2012-05-15	I have lived on the South shore of Lake Ontario since 2002 and have lost more than 10 feet of lake front property which is still being taxed.
craig goodrich	hilton, NY	2012-05-15	IJC data is flawed they have not controlled the Lake in a positive manner yet. I have lived all my life 62 years on the lake and seen the results of the high water and I was vice chair of the conservation dept for 12 years in Hamlin NY and now a councilman.
Lorraine White	Henderson, NY	2012-05-15	Stop messing with Mother Earth!!
Jennifer Andrews	Ocean Ridge, FL	2012-05-15	I spent my childhood boating on Lake Ontario and even though I have since moved away, I still come back every year. I am excited to bring my family back to share with them what I was fortunate enough to have in my life. What am I going to show them if it is destroyed?
Nancy Angie	Rochester, NY	2012-05-15	Concerns about lakeshore erosion, and the health threat that flooded sewer systems could create.
Fred Braun	Youngstown, NY	2012-05-15	I live on the lower Niagara River and personally witness the erosion caused by high water eating away at the toe of the river bank. I know that higher water means more erosion and property loss that will be gone forever.
Ricky Grizzanti	Rochester, NY	2012-05-15	stop erosion of our precious coast here in Rochester
Ray Mack	Hilton, NY	2012-05-15	Very concerned about the damage to our property that this plan will cause.
Stella Woodard	New Brunswick, NJ	2012-05-15	I am signing in hopes that a more thoughtful assessment of both the wetland ecosystems and human infrastructure along the banks of Lake Ontario will be conducted before this plan goes forward. I grew up in Sodus, NY and went on to complete a PhD in geological oceanography. I am concerned about the potential for excessive erosion, flooding and increased leaching of pollutants if the lake levels are raised.
Dan Wing	Rochester, NY	2012-05-15	Government is taking away property owners' and the electorates' rights at incredible speed. This includes: (1) BV7; (2) the NYS Power Act of 2011 ARTICLE X which removed Home Rule governmental representation by village/town/county elected officials in NY State-mandated power projects in the citizens' own local municipalities; (3) the Federally orchestrated Great Lakes Coalition to force enormous wind power projects into all of the Great Lakes bypassing local governmentals' and local citizens' rights and home rule processes.
Kathleen Spitz	Rochester, NY	2012-05-15	To save the shoreline, and stop the erosion that WILL occur if BV7 is implemented

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Cynthia Hellert	Lyndonville, NY	2012-05-16	I am a lakeshore property owner and am concerned about my shoreline erosion.
Jim Kelly	Tustin, CA	2012-05-16	My family's cottage on crescent beach has been in the family for almost 100 years. BV7 is a threat that may destroy it and many more on the sand bar, as well as the village's many businesses which we depend on.
kevin kelly	new paltz, NY	2012-05-16	Because my family's home of nearly a century appears to be threatened by the plan. THink they should go back to the drawing board.
Lyden Green	Zephyrhills, FL	2012-05-16	Has an affect on my duaghters property
Thomas Voelkl	Hilton, NY	2012-05-16	The current Orders of Approval were put in place to offset the negative impact of the Moses-Saunders Dam construction in early 50's. Since then, all public and private improvements on the south shore have been premised on lake levels stipulated in the Order. To increase lake levels now, without protection or indemnification of property owners, is an unconscionable abdication of government responsibility to its citizens.
Carol Y Coons	Rochester,, NY	2012-05-16	I'm very concerned both for my lakeshore friends and for the danger of losing control of our water resources in this area.
Mike Babcock	glendale, CA	2012-05-16	While I currently permanently reside in California, I spend every summer on Hilton Beach. (As I have for my entire 38 years.) I have seen the effects of residents on the entire south shore of Lake Ontario suffer from the decisions made by those with money to spend on their own interests. I sign this with hope and pride helping representing the permanent residents of a most special place.
Susan Williamson	Duck Key, FL	2012-05-16	Extreme impact for homeowners ans well as economic impact for our community.
Esta Sullivan	Rochester, NY	2012-05-16	I Live on Lake Ontario, and higher water levels will destroy my property.
Kim Masiello	Rochester, NY	2012-05-16	Il have read many of the reasons that people have cited and I agree with most all of them.
Terry Featherly	Rochester, NY	2012-05-16	I have friends and family on the cost of the point anda water shift would change the value of the property
Pamela Mroczek	Hilton, NY	2012-05-16	I am concerned about this community.
Patricia Harris	kent, NY	2012-05-16	I am a shore line owner watching my land erode yearly, as my taxes on same increase.
Michael Romansky	Rochester, NY	2012-05-16	The raise in the lake level coupled with a sewer system that is now decades old, presents challenges and increased needs to lower lake levels and its impacts. In the past few years, I have witnessed our sewer system back up on Edgemere Drive leaving toilet paper and what looked like an enjoyable diner or late lunch on our streets.
Michael Crawford	Hilton, NY	2012-05-16	We have installed 138 ft of revetment and have seen some erosion with the current plan. Higher water levels will create more erosion.
David Bell	Rochester, NY	2012-05-16	More water will cause more erosion and flooding and more damage to our homes and infrastructure!
Francine Beth	Rochester, NY	2012-05-16	Unless you've experienced what a high lake level entales and how scary it is to fight with break walls and sand bags. The possibility of losing your home isn't something that any of us should take lightly. We have pictures from the last time this happened to back our statement up.

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Daniel Underhill	Indian Trail, NC	2012-05-16	Being a long time resident of Crescent Beach on Sodus Bay, I see the beach erosion that is taking place and I fear that in the next 3-5 years that a portion of the barrier bar may be washed over which will have a snowball effect as more of the sides will be eroded away faster and allow more of the lakes damaging waves to turn Sodus Bay into an extension of Lake Ontario.
Rand Gee	Peaks Island, ME	2012-05-16	Connected to Rochester and don't want to see more damage caused by rising waters. There are already plenty of wetlands in Upstate NY.
Thomas Iuppa	Rochester, NY	2012-05-16	I have been lucky enough to have a childhood spent on the sand beaches in front of my grandparents home on an edgemere drive in Greece NY. High water and a freak hurricane in the early 70's destroyed the beach that existed for the 75 years they had owned the property. I purchased a lake front home to provide my children with the same experiences I enjoyed. High water has the potential to cause another disaster when couple with a heavy rain event or Hurricane. The economic impact of higher water has two sides. Short term positives for shipping in the seaway but negative for most bays and all of the shoreline.
ROBERT PINKNEY	WILLIAMSON, NY	2012-05-16	I LIVE ON LAKE AND HAVE ALREADY HAD SHORE DAMAGE
Dawn Diker	Rochester, NY	2012-05-16	My mom lives on the shoreline and this effects her personally, which effects me.
JoAnn Koepke	Rochester, NY	2012-05-17	I live on the shoreline of Lake Ontario - this effects me directly.
GARY CHITTENDEN	VICTOR, NY	2012-05-17	THE LAKE HAS WASHED AWAY A PORCH AND BEDROOM FROM OUR COTTAGE ALREADY, LOGS HIT OUR LAKE SIDE IN BIG STORMS, WE HAVE TRIED HARD TO PROTECT THE PROPERTY WITH ROCK AND GABION BASKETS, BUT HIGHER WATER LEVELS WILL MAKE NEWARK ISLAND AND THE SOUTH SHORE THE 1ST RESISTANCE TO THE LAKE BECAUSE WE WILL BE GONE. WE GET NOTHING FOR OUR TAX DOLLARS WHICH IS FINE, JUST LET US SURVIVE !!
Fran Beth	webster, NY	2012-05-17	My house will be flooded, Like it use to before they they would regulate the level, I pulled my dock out and put it be the front door so I could get out of my car and step on the dock to get into my house . And I live on Irondequoit Bay..I have lived here for 44 years,and have been through a lot Don't go backwards..don't raise the water level. PLEASE DON'T RAISE THE LEVEL!!!!!!
george scheil	greece, NY	2012-05-17	WHY IS OUR GOVERNMENT CROOKED? HIDE,HIDE, THE END RESULTS OF OUR BEAUTIFUL GREAT LAKES. THESE IN THE PAST WERE CONSIDERED AN ADDED WONDER OF THE WORLD. OUR TOWN OF 100k HAS MUCH TO LOSE- OH I'M SORRY OUR COUNTY SUPERVISOR MAGGIE BROOKS DOESN'T KNOW ABOUT THIS! "AND SHE IS RUNNING FOR THE SENATE", WHAT A LAUGH. THE HIGHER THE LAKE THE MORE SHORE EROSION, THUS RAISE RAISE THE LAKE MORE, AND more AND more till our homes and water are destroyed.
Sherrill Barlow	rochester, NY	2012-05-17	I live on the South Shore and don't want to lose my home to high lake levels
Pamela Martin	Garner, NC	2012-05-17	My summer home sits on Crescent Beach. With the lake out the back door and the bay out the front, this spit of land lays like an heirloom necklace stretching across the water from Lake Bluff to Charles Point. My earliest memory of this beloved place goes back 61 years, I am 64. Lets not make Lake Ontario bigger by losing Sodus Bay.
Kathleen Bell	Rochester, NY	2012-05-17	I live on the south shore of Lake Ontario and I do not want my property damaged by high water.

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Tim Oakes	Fairport, NY	2012-05-17	Our summer residence on the east end of Lake Ontario is protected by a three foot tall retaining wall designed by the Army Corps after the devastation of Hurricane Agnes in 1973. A similar event with the lake a couple of feet higher will likely wash out the wall and our cottage. The Black Pond wetlands to the rear of our property is at its highest level and flourishing.
David Williamson	Sodus Point, NY	2012-05-17	We are concerned for our families safety & the sewage treatment plant and the result of being flooded out of our home.
Karlene Parshall	Rochester, NY	2012-05-17	Having had the water level at the proposed level or higher for a long period last spring (2011), I witnessed erosion, wildlife that lost their natural habit homes, damage to homes on the lake and the concerns of flooding Montreal if the level of the lake were lowered by controls at the St Lawrence. Those of us that live on the north shores of Lake Ontario take great pride in protecting the land and the lake not to mention our homes. The only thing I foresee from this plan is devastation to all, not to mention our roads and drinking water.
C Brooks	Rochester, NY	2012-05-17	Raising the lake will destroy the environment, the drinking water and homes/towns.
Madelaine Roberts	Fort Collins, CO	2012-05-17	I visit Lake Ontario every summer and would hate to see the destruction this may cause.
Stanley Johnston	Hilton, NY	2012-05-17	My home is directly on the south shore. We are blessed to be here. We are very concerned about the damage that this could cause.
Jeannine Marie Soden	Silver Spring, MD	2012-05-17	My family owns waterfront property on Lake Ontario in New York State. This will have detrimental effects to our land and property.
Betty Jane Davies	Pulaski, NY	2012-05-17	Raising the lake water will be devastating to Lake Ontario property owners shoreline. We battle erosion already.
Martin Switzer	Fulton, NY	2012-05-17	As a Lake Front property owner who has seen the the Dunes & Beaches disappear. this helps no one
Michael Ryan	Mount Dora, FL	2012-05-17	For the past 70 years we have seen the winter storm damage on the shore of Lake Ontario in Lyndonville. No one has done anything to repair that shoreline. The people just continue to lose a foot of land every year.
Richard Kennedy	Raleigh, NC	2012-05-18	Raising the water level in Lake Ontario will destroy my family's cabin, it will wipe away 3 generations of tradition and memories, and will eliminate the possibility of my children enjoying summer family time at "camp."
steve macknight	syracuse, NY	2012-05-18	We have owned a camp since 1956 and the high water combined with wind have destroyed our beaches and dunes along the eastern shoreline
david pierson	binghamton, NY	2012-05-18	plan bv7 raises water levels 4.25 times more often than 1958dd which has already destroyed most of shorelines. It is unconscionable that they will intentionally flood and destroy lake shorelines while still altering flows to prevent Montreal flooding..
Reid Foertsch	Hilton, NY	2012-05-18	The IJC and SLBoC have proved to be disingenuous in stating the goals, objectives, and facts in the past and in the present. Analysis indicates that the proposed plan will result in frequent occurrences of higher water levels, while I very seriously doubt that lower water levels will be allowed, in the face of the outcry of the higher water advocates. Meanwhile, there is no adequate provision for the compensation for the most certain costs of erosion control and loss of use, as required by the Constitution of the United States for seizure of property, which this most certainly is.

Name	Location	Date	Comment
LARRY LAFORCE	SODUS POINT, NY	2012-05-19	I AM TOTALLY AGAINST CHANGING FROM THE CURRENT WATER LEVEL PLAN THAT HAS BEEN IN EXISTANT FOR OVER 50 YEARS & SEEMS TO WORK VERY WELL. THE ENTIRE INFRASTRUCTURE OF ALL LAKE FRONT COMMUNITIES & RESIDENTS/ COMMERCIAL BUSINESS DEPENDS ON ITS CURRENT LOCATION WITHOUT HI/LO WATER LEVELS!
Martin Siefka	Skaneateles, NY	2012-05-19	As a long-time boater and visitor to the eastern shores of Lake Ontario, it is apparent that the current approach is had resulted in damaged and reduced sandy shoreline (which used to be extensive and beautiful), significant negative impact on recreational boating, and, according to sportsmen, a major decline in some fish and game and their habitat. A better approach is needed that brings back a more natural pattern to try to restore years of damage.
William Selke	Rochester, NY	2012-05-19	Major negative impact on the US shoreline in the Rochester, NY area
MaryLou Kennedy	Naples, FL	2012-05-20	My family owns property and have been property owners since 1945. There will no land left if BV7 goes into effect.
John Gruninger	Syracuse, NY	2012-05-20	I am a concerned waterfront property owner {summer residence at Henderson Harbor N.Y.}
James Henneberger	Sandy Creek, NY	2012-05-20	For decades shoreline residents have developed their property trusting that water levels will be managed at a specified level. breaking this trust will be devastating to property owners. If earlier proposals considered all constituencies, why are land and business owners being given scant consideration this time?
Dan Cruchon	Frankfort, MI	2012-05-20	While the restoration of Northern Pike is important so are the walleye and perch that presently exist in the same bio-mass. The Pike will push these sport fish out.
J Swanson	Mt. Morris, NY	2012-05-20	We stay at Lake Ontario for vacation and this act would ruin the beach where we stay.
John Leonardo	Minoa, NY	2012-05-20	As an owner of property on Lake Ontario for over 40 years I have witnessed the damage from high waters. It can be devastating and permanently destroys the shoreline.
Robert Pelcher	Baldwinsville, NY	2012-05-21	After losing a sandy beach that was 30-40 yards wide over the past 30 years and spending 10's of thousands of dollars to protect our shoreline, our property can not take any additional water level increase. If water levels increase, not only will we lose our camp, but access (by car) to the southern portion of Renshaw Bay Rd will be lost. At current water levels we regularly receive waves that reach the road.
Tag Price	Pulaski, NY	2012-05-22	We, as property owners along the lakeshore, have developed our homes and businesses according to the promise made with 1958DD that water levels would remain within a certain range. Allowing BV7 to be implemented would make my property a part of Lake Ontario, especially when we see those gale-force storms throughout the year. Those waves will be in my living room. The sportfishing industry will be heavily affected in a negative way, as well, and would be an economic crush to ALL communities.
paul flanigan	Cicero, NY	2012-05-24	I am a lake front and pond front property owner in sandy creek and have erosion on both sides of my property
Joan Dalrymple	Happy Jack, AZ	2012-05-24	I am Opposed to government agencies causing hardship on citizens because of their constant changing of "Policies" and imposing the terms of those policies on individuals.
Miles Van Buren	Ontario, NY	2012-05-24	I am a water front owner and do not want further erosion to my property

Name	Location	Date	Comment
James Massie	Olcott, NY	2012-05-25	Change of a 50 year commitment to lake interests.
Kevin Cornell	Wilson, NY	2012-05-25	property owner on Lake Ontario
larry robbins	pulaski, OH	2012-05-25	I built a new home on sandy pond in 2006 using HIGH WATER MARK OF 247 per FEMA, NO FLOOD INSUANCE, NO DANGER, WHAT ABOUT US LITTLE PEOPLE!
Carolyn Bova	Burt, NY	2012-05-26	We want to be able to use our lake too. This change will cause beach erosion and will make our harbors unusable,
Carl Falletta	Wilson, NY	2012-05-26	Raising the Lake levels to this extreme will have severe adverse impact on everyone living on this lake.
Dawn Zarambo	Tonawanda, NY	2012-05-28	Our shoreline across Sunset Island in Wilson, NY is eroding and no one that can do anything to help seems to care.
Cheryl Gressani	Mannsville, NY	2012-05-28	No consideration is being given to seasonal landowners who pay HIGH taxes on the property that will be washed away under BV7 scenario and who spend 6 months out of the year contributing to the local economy. Plan is unbalanced in favor of wetlands and river interests. Project, as originally envisioned, was not supposed to impact adversely one particular group over the other.
Rhonda Kearns	Olcott, NY	2012-05-29	Costs of damages are very underestimated.
Karin Jagger	Sodus, NY	2012-05-29	The lake has done well on its own for 11,000 years now. We shouldn't be regulating the water level at all. Let it be.
Karen Iuppa	Rochester, NY	2012-05-29	We can't go back to the way the lake was before the St. Lawrence seaway... but we can continue with the present day natural cyclical lake levels and because we have the resource of the seaway the levels of Lake Ontario can be tweaked when the lake goes too low or conversely too high.
Barbara Weidmann	Macedon, NY	2012-05-29	I am a property owner in Sodus Point, NY
Kathleen Wronski	Burt, NY	2012-05-30	Economic impacts to municipalities, businesses and home owners in bay and south shore communities not given serious consideration.
Bradley Bardo	Wilson, NY	2012-05-30	I am a cottage owner that has been in the family since 1958 on Sunset Island, Wilson, NY. I remember the devastation of 1973 and if Plan BV7 is implemented the island including my cottage will be destroyed.
Bruce Feldbauer	Webster, NY	2012-05-30	I have a cottage on Sodus Bay and I am about to spend alot of money to replace my break wall. I need to know the final lake level aims to choose the correct break wall height.
John Zimmerman	Lyndonville, NY	2012-05-30	We have watched the lakeshore erode continuously over the past 55 years since our family has owned lakefront property losing an estimated 60 feet as lake levels have been manipulated.
Greg Osterberg	Ontario, NY	2012-05-30	Shoreline erosion has been observed to increase exponentially when the lake level is increased above the current average, even temporarily. (Photographic evidence from 2011-2012 demonstrates this.) Citizens who own homes on the South shore of Lake Ontario face huge financial losses if the level is increased beyond the current average lake level. No homeowner compensation is offered in any of the IJC plans, so implementation amounts to mass injustice.
Linda Thoms	Rochester, NY	2012-05-30	I own property on the east end of Lake Ontario, and over the years, we have spent thousands of dollars trying to protect it. With this BV7 plan, all of our efforts and money will have been wasted.
William Wayman	Ontario, NY	2012-05-30	Lake shore owner. Higher water levels will cause LOTS of erosion! Lower property values.
Diane Pierson	Binghamton, NY	2012-05-30	to protect our lake front homes and shores

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Gary Zieres	NY, NY	2012-05-31	I lived through the flood in 1973. Our Church group and Boy Scout troupe sandbagged hundreds of bags to protect our property. Every wave pounded against our home and we incurred significant damage. Don't want to ever go through that again!!!
Cathy Smith	Milton, MA	2012-05-31	For the following reasons, I oppose BV7: Loss of family property with 20+ years in Sodus Point, potential contamination of bay/surrounding water via sewer with flooding, and no plan for restoration or reparations to private or public entities.
Damian Weidmann	Rochester, NY	2012-05-31	Even if the plan laid out in the 1950's was not the optimal solution, there is no evidence that BV7 properly balances the destruction to property and infrastructure, both private and public. Who pays for that damage? I see no budget at the IJC to accomplish that.
Annette Weidmann	Rochester, NY	2012-05-31	I oppose due to the following reasons: Family property would be lost, contamination of bay and no plan for restoration to private and public properties.
Deborah Osterberg	Ontario, NY	2012-06-01	Taking action to reinforce one habitat at the expense of another is faulty logic, and in this case while reinforcing wetlands, threatens both the natural environment and treasured human investment there.
Karl Foust	Wolcott, NY	2012-06-01	I am more interested in LOW Water than in High.
Richard Roach	Youngstown, NY	2012-06-01	High water, such as the levels we experienced last year caused considerable damage in the lower Niagara River. Neighbors and I have had to reinforce our shoreline with stone and other materials at great cost to us. If not done we would likely lose our homes.
Lee Ann Zaretsky	Webster, NY	2012-06-01	As a life-long water enthusiast, boat owner, and shore resident, I am concerned this proposal will absolutely destroy a quality of life "around the water" that has sustained countless economies, added beauty, value, and recreation beyond belief. Imagine all this disappearing in a heartbeat if this proposal comes to fruition.
kenneth Colwell	Kenmore, NY	2012-06-01	I am a boater / fisherman and am concerned about this impact of this proposal on these activities
Alan Rosenbaum	Burt, NY	2012-06-01	The Plan BV7 will have significant economic impacts to coastal communities due to loss of existing boating infrastructure, cottage rental loss at high levels and lack of boating access at low levels. In today's economy, the wide ranging impact will be town's, counties, and state sales tax revenues will be lower and everyone will need to pay more taxes. This change is counter to help with today's NY core issues. In regards to wildlife, today's plan seems to work fine. I see an abundance of water fowl and wild life. I hope our NY and Federal agencies will make the decisions that are important to their constituents.
anna novik	sodus point, NY	2012-06-01	The loss of business will directly affect my sons income if people don't come to stay here in Sodus Point.
Alan Rae	Wilson, NY	2012-06-01	Our property suffers erosion when levels are low (undercutting defensive stones) or high (overtopping stones). Please do not mess with the water level!
Ronald Ciamaga	Grand Island, NY	2012-06-01	Lowering Lake Ontario water Levels in the summer will adversely effecting boating all around the shoreline including the upper St. Lawrence River. Some marinas may no longer be accessible. Further the power entities use Lake Ontario for water storage in the summer so they have the flow available for power production when demand is highest. Lastly, low water levels in the St. Lawrence River will negatively effect shipping in the Seaway as drafts will be limited. Conversely, high water levels in the winter will cause significant flooding and shore line erosion in the winter.

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Alan Green	Pittsburgh, PA	2012-06-01	we visit family at sodus point and don't ruin the beauty, charm and attraction of the place, or any of the businesses.
Rosemary Heilman	Williamsno, NY	2012-06-01	We don't want our house flooded - or the beach at Sodus Point destroyed. Not in the name of 'creating a new nature"
Wally Holloway	Lexington, KY	2012-06-02	We spend time during the summer on the Sodus Point loop, which would be ruined if this plan is implemented. And from my brief examination of the LOSLR study, it appears that the environmental impact of the flooded homes to Lake Ontario and below were not considered. My city was sued by the EPA for improperly handling storm water runoff--I cannot imagine the scope of damage caused by flooding the lakeside homes, sewers, etc. and releasing this debris into the waterway. May level heads prevail.
Jacqueline Walker	Pultneyville, NY	2012-06-02	There are another ways to achieve wetlands objectives without interfering with an entire Great Lake. Broaden your options and start thinking out of the box.
BRADLEY ERCK	LYNDONVILLE, NY	2012-06-02	my shoreline has eroded several feet over the years
laurie fredrick	waterport, NY	2012-06-02	Once the "higher highs" are set, we'll never see them go down. The force of the lake is devastating in a storm!
Richard Allen	Youngstown, NY	2012-06-02	The high water level makes the large boulders along my shoreline useless in preventing erosion!
Suzanne Needler	North Tonawanda, NY	2012-06-02	Because I LOVE THE LAKE & want my daughter & someday her children to be able to enjoy it the way I have
Dorothy Perotto	Rochester, NY	2012-06-02	Dorothy Perotto
Shannon Bielaska	Rochester, NY	2012-06-02	The water pumping station that provides clean drinking water for this part of the Rochester area will be under water based on this new plan BV7. This is unacceptable, as this is our source of clean drinking water. In addition, our sewers and other utilities are threatened by this plan. This plan is unacceptable to those of us who live on or near Lake Ontario. The damages would be astronomical from this plan, and they are totally avoidable. We are totally opposed to this plan, BV7.
Kim Wilson	Ontario, NY	2012-06-02	As owners of more than 1000 feet of low bank lakefront including Federal Wetlands, we are convinced that higher lake levels will further encroach on and erode even further the shoreline already lost in recent years. The research on the potential physical, ecological and economic impacts of Plan BV7 is dreadfully inadequate to justify its implementation. For example, the economic impact determined by IJC research is woefully underestimated. Plan BV7 broaches the long-term official assurance that water levels will be maintained at a specified level. Even at that long-term level, shoreline loss has been at nearly one foot per year for the past 50 years. The IJC should formulate its plans based much more on relevant science and very little on politics.
Thomas Crumlish	Rochester, NY	2012-06-02	This plan will damage my property
Deanna Nestoros	Lockport, NY	2012-06-03	I am signing to protect my parents' beautiful property on the lake.
Mary Chambers	Rochester, NY	2012-06-03	To protect the beach area from devastation.
Constance Bottaro	Kendall, NY	2012-06-03	We are concerned about our lakefront property. We have lost so much shoreline in the past that we fear what will happen if this passes.
Susan Culhane-Jackson	Rochester, NY	2012-06-03	I'm a resident on the Lake Ontario shoreline and don't see how this plan would ever be in the interest of our homes and wild life
william reabold	burt, NY	2012-06-03	to save my lake front
Charles Daul	Webster, NY	2012-06-03	I live on lake front and my house is at risk

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Peggy Cagle	Rochester, NY	2012-06-03	Raising the lake level will create serious problems for all the people who live on the lake. Residential homes and the safety of the occupants needs to be a top priority.
Terry Goodrich	Williamson, NY	2012-06-04	Property owner of Lake Ontario shoreline and I don't want the negative effects of BV7
Debra Cirino	Cheektowaga, NY	2012-06-04	Instead of telling whats going to be done ASK what can we do to help...
Andrew Cummings	Palmyra, NY	2012-06-04	raising the lake will not only damage personal property along the lake but it will flood out the camp ground at lakeside park.
Charles Monsees	Williamson, NY	2012-06-04	Thie risks are too great for aour area
Eileen Loveman	Williamson, NY	2012-06-04	My home is on the Lake.
John Miller	Steubenville, OH	2012-06-04	For decades shoreline residents have developed their property trusting that water levels will be managed at a specified level. breaking this trust will be devastating to property owners
Andy Vagianos	Ontario, NY	2012-06-04	This is another INSANE idea that is being proposed by a bunch of liberal idiots. Wake up politicians!!! The "plan BV7" is a very BAD idea that should NEVER happen. Why aren't there major impact studies done before this kind of crap even becomes public knowledge? Who comes up with these idiotic ideas anyhow? How would you like it if I threatened your home, your family, your friends, and your entire life? Plan BV7 MUST NOT HAPPEN!
alan christodaro	rochester, NY	2012-06-04	I own a house on lake Ontario.
Denise McKnight	Lockport, NY	2012-06-04	I am a recreational boater. The proposed plan is dangerous to boaters as we would have no idea how deep(or shallow) the water is and could do major damage to our lower units I boat out of Wilson NY and this proposal will silt our harbor in continually. No one has the money needed to dredge the harbor yearly as this is what would be needed to continue to use it for fishing and recreational boating. It will be a huge mess.
Tony McKenna	Olcott, NY	2012-06-04	Shoreline owner, boater and environmentalist- this plan is NOT balanced and the environmental work is flawed.
Tim Vine	Appleton, NY	2012-06-04	It would appear that property owners on the shoreline have no say in this matter. after 75 years of operating a non profit summer camp and battling with the DEC to try to protect our shore line so we can continue to educate children, There seems to be no compensation or assistance available.
Richard Granville	North Syracuse, NY	2012-06-04	My waterfront will be damaged excessively with the higher water levels. The 1958 agreement was made for regulated water levels, but now will be broken by changes favoring some special interests.
James Ryan	Williamson, NY	2012-06-04	When Muskrats have greater protection than property owners, we ALL must question the logic.
Darlene Cole	Chicago, IL	2012-06-04	I have friends with homes in that area! And, it's near my hometown!
Thomas Shea	Rochester, NY	2012-06-05	I own Ontario Lake-front property with an already very small beach, and do not want to lose my beach entirely; much less, some of the shoreline.
Peter Seager	Wilson, NY	2012-06-05	I would think the Gov would not want to loose any furhter taxable property than he already has over the years because of the St. Laewrence Seaway.
ELIZABETH GALEN	WATERPORT, FL	2012-06-05	BECAUSE WE ALREADY HAVE INVESTED OVER \$8,000 IN OUR WATERFRONT PROPERTY AT THE WATERS EDGE.

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Roy Anderson	Barker, NY	2012-06-05	<p>Over the past decade, our club has spent tens of thousands of dollars to bulkhead around our island to protect it against projected high water levels. Increasing the high water level allowed would endanger our island due to erosion.</p> <p>Allowing lower levels as well would make the water depth in the harbor a major problem, as there is already severe shoaling within and adjacent to the channel, with no plan to dredge in the near future. The economy of all businesses which depend on our already short boating season are at risk.</p>
Linda Duemmel (Wagner)	Bakersfield, CA	2012-06-05	<p>I moved to CA in 1978, but I was born & raised in Rochester , NY . Most of my family still live in Rochester & the surrounding areas because they love Upstate NY . I am concerned about the negative impact Plan BV7 will be on the residences, the business owners , the health threat ... Destroying the Lake Ontario shoreline would mean destroying the towns bordering the Lake : Sodus Bay, Sodus Point, Port Bay, Sandy Ponds, Eagle Island, Cresant Beach, Durand Eastman Park, Newark, Watertown - everything from the Niagra River to Greece.The damage estimate to the people & the property involved is devistating & unrealistic . How can this even be considered ?</p>
Michael Annalora	Burt, NY	2012-06-05	I do the majority of my boating on Lake Ontario
Wallace Miller	Olcott, NY	2012-06-05	We lived through the last big storm and lost 31 feet back and 21 feet straight down on our property. High water is a disaster waiting to happen.
michael donovan	rochester, NY	2012-06-05	i live on lake and concerned about my property
Reo Alderman	Rochester, NY	2012-06-05	Higher water levels and winds from any direction would create a state of emergency/exteme devastation to the entire shoreline of Lake Ontario. Do not let this happen.
Gwen Comstra	hilton, NY	2012-06-05	The lake does not need to be any higher to "help the environment". The marshes are already becoming beaches as the lake has expanded into them in several places in the Rochester area. Let it be!!! Stop taking our property which we already pay a premium in taxes for and now have to spend more on breakwalls to "protect us" from athreat of water damage created by man, not nature.
Grace Patterson	Lexington, KY	2012-06-05	<p>My grandfather purchased our family cottage in 1944 after coming to the United States from Italy. He came here with nothing and worked hard and long to purchase this property. This has been in our family for 68 years. Just imagine all of the family events, picnics, children, grandchildren, great grandchildren and the multitude of events that have happened during the 68 years. These are memories that you will be wiping away for the future generations. My children have grown up at this family cottage. Every year we come and gather with family. We also come for the Fourth of July events and Breakfast on the Bluff, eat at the local restaurants and shop at the local shops. All of this will be gone and for no reason.</p> <p>Please re-think what you are doing to the many families that will be affected as well as the tourism of the areas. We need these small towns and areas for families so we don't lose touch with what is really important in life - family and traditions. Do not pass the BV7 plan!</p>
Frank A DeFelippo	Lockport, NY	2012-06-05	Can't afford to lose any more lake front already lost my stairs so no access to the lake but still being taxed for it

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Erik Raithe	Wexford, PA	2012-06-05	Family owned shore lines will be deminished and eventually ruined if the level is raised. The state of NY is not willing to pay for erosion control along the lake edge, so why raise the lake. These people will have their property destroyed over time.
Tracy Danielson	Richmond, KY	2012-06-05	Due to the high damages that would result from its implementation and the lack of resources for mitigation and compensation for these damages.
Kathleen Vande	Sodus Point, NY	2012-06-05	I live on the North shore of Sodus Bay and have watched in 2010 when warmth and blue green algae made our waters way to foul to swim in. I am a member of the Sierra Club, the Audobon Society and the National Wildlife Preservation Society. As president of our local Sodus Point Neighborhood Association I have worked to pick up debris from our beaches, gather water chestnuts, and distribute an Environmental List of Pollution preventers. I'm teasingly labelled at as a "tree hugger". Lower and higher water levels will only exacerbate our run off and wreck havoc on our economic position. Please, please, halt Plan BV7..
Joseph Piekunka	Dryden, NY	2012-06-05	There is a 1956 covenant between the citizens and NY State to keep the water level steady. Breaking the covenant is immoral, unjust, damaging to the trust citizens place in their government and bad public policy.
Allen Rossignol	Wolcott, NY	2012-06-05	To protect our property on Sodus Bay and to support the petitioners concerns of elevated erosion of Lake Ontario waterfronts, towns and villages. Allowing this could have significant negative economic impact.
David Mac Leod	Buffalo, NY	2012-06-05	To preserve recreational boating in Wilson Harbor!
Anna Bell	Glendale, AZ	2012-06-05	Our family lives on the lake.
Richard Schaeffer	Rochester, NY	2012-06-06	Impact to boating and property in Sodus Point, NY
MARILYN CRUMLISH	ROCHESTER, NY	2012-06-06	2728 edgemere dr Rochester
Claire Kaler	Sodus Point, NY	2012-06-06	I want to protect my property in Sodus Point.
Susan Mazza	Rochester, NY	2012-06-06	My home and my neighbors homes will be flooded out! This will dramatically affect my property in a most negative way.
DORALYN MARSHALL	LOCKPORT, NY	2012-06-06	I OWN LAKEFRONT PROPERTY IN OLCOTT, NY AND WOULD BE FLOODED OUT BY BV7. STOP THE ENVIRONATZIES!!
Mary Terwilliger	Rochester, NY	2012-06-06	I am a homeowner on the Lakeshore and have experienced numerous flooding in the past 25 years.
Gary Kenline	Wilson, NY	2012-06-06	I have lost significant lake front land
Ann McDonald	Rochester, NY	2012-06-06	Because Lake Ontario State Parkway Homes are being severely affected by the erosion of the shore line. Lake Ontario is just one of many of the Great Lakes, but we need to preserve it's shoreline, property values, and it's natural beauty. It's one of the largest of the lakes, and it's an important borderline between our country and Canada. Sincerely, Annie McDonald
Paul Mullane	Lockport, NY	2012-06-06	I own 3 pieces of property on Lake Ontario and don't want to see BV7 go through.
Christopher R. Amann	Pittsford, NY	2012-06-06	Raising the lake level is a horrible idea. Lake level should be lower, not higher.
Peter Widmann	Sodus Point, NY	2012-06-06	To protect my investment in lakeshore property from further erosion and or flooding.

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Helen Munier	sodus point, NY	2012-06-06	We have bad erosion now and we can not allow the lake level to go up at all!!
Kathleen Foy	Hilton, NY	2012-06-07	I live on Lake Ontario & join my neighbors with concerns
Crystal Piekunka	Casselberry, FL	2012-06-07	My family has a cottage on Sodus Point that has been in the family for 68 years.
Peter Widmann	Sodus Point, NY	2012-06-07	Keep the existing plan. BV7 is flawed. Personal desires of PV7 planers must be exposed and brought under open investigation. The friendship between our great nations will begin to dwindle if BV7 is blindly implemented. Herman L. Gentsch, Jr.
Tina Klein	Rochester, NY	2012-06-07	if it's not broke why fix it ? we've lived and vacationed here all my life , can't justify w/o much more proof.
Jeffrey Miller	Hilton, NY	2012-06-07	<p>I attended the meeting last night in Hilton. Once again, the IJC has done a horrible job of taking into serious consideration the interests (and welfare) of south shore Lake O residents and adequately representing them in their latest proposal, Bv7.</p> <p>Someone on the committee that developed it actually suggested that 'low interest loans' could be made available to shoreline residents to improve breakwalls or for damage recovery from the higher water levels. Waterfront homeowners already bear a larger tax burden (OK, due to the higher assessed values, and rightfully so). But, expecting them to bear the additional hardship of building higher, stronger breakwalls to deal with the proposed higher highs after 50+ years of regulation to the existing levels is simply unacceptable. Many residents will either be forced out because they can't afford it, be left with major damage, or just plain lose their homes. Low interest loans, my ass. How about the power generation and shipping interests with the deep pockets pay for every single lakefront property breakwall to be built up to withstand the new high high levels (with margin for storm surge.)</p> <p>NOT ONE of the proponents of Bv7 (in its current form) who spoke last night actually lives on the lake or runs a business like a marina on the water. NOT ONE.</p> <p>I love the Lake O fishery, and respect that the environmentalists want to improve the wetland breeding grounds. BUT, these BvX proposals just don't give any fair regard to those of us whose homes, life savings, and in many cases, livelihoods are founded on the PROMISE by the IJC to maintain the existing levels established 50+ year ago.</p>
Larry Gendzier	Orlando, FL	2012-06-07	My understanding of Plan BV7 will result in an intentional government taking of property and riparian rights. In the event that BV7 goes forward every coastal property owner should seek full compensation from the government.
Eric Heim	north tonawanda, NY	2012-06-07	lake levels should be left the way they are and have been
Robert Laird	Sodus, NY	2012-06-07	Plan BV7 increases damages to Lake Ontario coastal communities when compared to plan B+. Plan B+ was rejected by the IJC in 2007 due to the high damages that would have resulted from its implementation and the lack of resources for mitigation and compensation for these damages. Given that 2007 rejection of a plan which would have caused lesser damage than will the currently proposed BV7, there is no rationale for implementing BV7, unless lakeshore property owners have suddenly become devalued by fiat and without recourse, by unelected and unaccountable 'Regulators'.

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Ann Holloway	Lexington, KY	2012-06-08	Raising the water level will flood my family's property which we've paid taxes on for over 60 years. Another broken promise. . .
JAY MURPHY	Hilton, NY	2012-06-08	The bottom line is that the wetlands are not the root cause of the IJC wanting to pass this proposal. It's money and it's always been money. The shipping interests have the money. However, when there was flooding in 1973, the majority of homes were cottages, second homes. Now, the shoreline has large, permanent homes. There's money there, also. We must be protected and be heard. Afterall, we pay a horrific amount in taxes and we vote. And this is an election year.
Frederick Odenbach	Webster, NY	2012-06-11	I am worried about more erosion of my property and the expense it will be for me
Louis Rotunno	Wolcott, NY	2012-06-11	There is not enough space for me tell all the reasons why this is the most foolish plan I have ever heard. Basic's; Port Bay is so low now that I can't even take my boat out. If the level goes up, my dock is under water. I pay taxes for water front property, GIVE ME WATER!!!
Kathryn Goebel	Westlake, OH	2012-06-11	Family lives in Wilson, NY. Changes in water level cause erosion.
Will Woodard	Sodus, NY	2012-06-11	raising the water will ruin my land and community which I live.
Richard Van Gemert	Delray Beach, FL	2012-06-12	Plan BV7 will cause a great deal of finacial and ecological losses to the Lake Ontario communities. Please vote No on this plan.
Matt Smith	Kendall, NY	2012-06-12	If they cause damage are they responsible.
Monica pullano	lockport, NY	2012-06-13	Concerned about damage to lake shore property in Wilson, Ny
Robert Miller	Youngstwon, NY	2012-06-14	High water in the winter and low water in the summer are counter productive, enhance potentiqal erosion during the stormy winter months as well as erosion d/t low water in the summer and deter recreational activities in the summer as well..
Cinnamon Soehner	Lyndonville, NY	2012-06-15	I am a resident on the Lake Shore in Orleans County paying the third highest property tax in my community. My property is falling into the lake from erosion due to high lake levels. This plan will continue to damage my property causing me the need to build a retaining wall, at my cost, IF approved by the DEC.
candice rogers	rochester, NY	2012-06-15	we have a cottage in waterport and whatever plan is implemented will effect us in some way.
Ed Riley	Rochester, NY	2012-06-15	I cannot afford to continue to pay for future protective measures for my property caused by this "man made" disaster. I watched raw sewage from the sanitary sewers overflow and go directly into Buck Pond when the level was at 247.6 in April of 2011. How environmentally friendly is this ?
Laurey Ritchie	Webster, NY	2012-06-15	Dear Mr. Bevacqau; I truly hope that the round of town hall meetings has not left you all feeling that we riparian stakeholders are raving maniacs. We are not. We are frustrated and disenfranchised. Speaking for myself and echoing what others have stated, we live on the Lake because we love the environment and will accept the idea that more environmental consideration is required for wetland species diversity and the overall sustainability of the Lake habitats. Unfortunately, I think the commission has failed to provide a plan that addresses the economic concerns of the south shore residents and municipalities. After 5 years and many millions of dollars spent, you have not provided a plan that competently includes all concerns. There is no compromise in this plan and we will continue to fight against the economic injustice that the BV7 Plan represents.

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Robert Toppe	Pulaski, NY	2012-06-15	Bob Toppe Higher water levels will destroy tax paying communities who have been support by the taxpayers for decades. I am sorry, but the birds and bees do not support the enviornmental programs of those bent on destroying the lakeside property for humans. Our taxes do. The Humans deserve some some love.
richard crowley	Rochester, NY	2012-06-15	Own lakefront property in Hamlin, ny
elizabeth sharkey	syracuse, NY	2012-06-15	safe guarding our waterfront
Fredrick Hausner	Lyndonville, NY	2012-06-16	To preserve the south shore of Lake Ontario from the damages caused by high lake levels and to urge the IJC to consider all parties equally
Susan Hayward	Hamlin, NY	2012-06-16	Because I care about the southern shore of lakeontario...
Beverly Harris	Pulaski, NY	2012-06-16	Own property and house right on lake...water higher will cost/cause significant property damage.
John Musson	Hilton, NY	2012-06-17	South shore property owner that is losing land due to high water erosion.
Charlene Boas	Wolcott, NY	2012-06-17	Opposed to increased fluctuation in lake & bay levels.
Chris Fletcher	Fairport, NY	2012-06-18	As a boater and contributor to the recreational marine economy on both sides of Lake Ontario, I oppose BV7 due to the impact to our recreational season and our fragile economy by introducing greater water level fluctuations. The environmental benefits of BV7 for a few bugs and birds are questionable at best, while the economic and social impacts to the tax paying property and business owners, who bought their property under the current 1958 plan, are clear and significant. In addition, there is significant public infrastructure at risk. Yet, there is no budget in BV7 to compensate those adversely and/or financially affected. How is this possible in the United States of America? BV7 should be scrapped immediately and its liberal sponsors should be investigated and exposed for the hypocrites that they are.
E.J. Camp	Sodus, NY	2012-06-19	cause i love sodus!
Chris Fletcher	Fairport, NY	2012-06-19	As a boater and contributor to the recreational marine economy on both sides of Lake Ontario, I oppose BV7 due to the impact to our recreational season and our fragile economy. The environmental benefits of BV7 for a few bugs and birds are questionable at best, while the economic and social impacts to the tax paying property and business owners, who bought their property under the current 1958 plan, are clear and significant. In addition, there is significant public infrastructure at risk. Yet, there is no budget or plan in BV7 to compensate those adversely and/or financially affected. How is this possible in the United States of America? BV7 should be scrapped immediately and its liberal sponsors should be investigated and exposed for the hypocrites that they are.
Frank Ternoois	Sodus, NY	2012-06-19	Because sodus needs this
Nancy Asin	Ann Arbor, MI	2012-06-21	Ihave been enjoying Sodus Bay for the past 55 years. Don't harm it now!
joan croyle	webster, NY	2012-06-21	I continue to see a deterioration in the water quality of the seasonal property we own. I am very concerned that the quality continues to deteriorate, so far quite drastrically in the past 4 years. All factors need to be considered to reach an appropriate solution .
Tim Ginther	newark, NY	2012-06-30	I love the beack and this plan to add "a few more inches of water" is insane, not we have no beaches that we pay for with our taxes. NOT FAIR!!! I WANT IJC accountable!!!
Mary Schantz	Pleasant Hill, TN	2012-07-07	Save beautiful Sodus Bay!

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Annie Babcock	Hilton, NY	2012-08-30	WE have to depend on the lake level to do boating safely. Our House depends on an expected level..not hight...to stay out of harms way and have a safe house to live in.
david nagel	rrochester, NY	2012-08-30	stop shore damage
Flora Weatherhog	Rochester, NY	2012-08-30	I just purchased my home seven years ago and I would like to keep the little beach I have now. I also would like boaters (we have a boat) have a way to get in and out of our nearby marina. I don't want my house to be flooded when the lake level is too high.
James DiPasquale	Hilton, NY	2012-08-31	Enough already with the environmentalist tyranny.
Linus Freeman	Lyndonville, NY	2012-08-31	ABP A better plan would be to require max deviation of 3 feet 244-247 and must maintain 246.5 April 1-Sept 30 if failure remove chairmen of IJC from Board ALL WOULD BE BETTER OFF
EDWIN KONDRATOWICZ	SANDY CREEK, NY	2012-09-01	I LIVE ON THE WATER AND HAVE SUFFERED HIGH WATER EFFECTS
Darrell Kondratowicz	El Paso, TX	2012-09-03	This is my home and where I was raised. I have a family member that lives on a peninsula on sandy pond and struggles every year just to keep his property. If this is not passed that struggle become almost impossible.
richard f piperata	utica, NY	2012-09-03	boating
jack cardillo	hilton, NY	2012-09-03	live on lake , enjoy boating(have boat railway), restaurants, exploring. This is a very short season and the lake is a beautiful resource. I CANT UNDERSTAND WHY THE LEVEL CANT BE KEPT AT A USUABLE HEIGHT FOR 16 WEEKS .
Tom Nicholson	Ontario, NY	2012-09-05	Concerned resident in proximity to Lake Ontario shoreline.
Keith Smith	Wocott, NY	2012-09-05	I won't be able to get a boat to my dock in front of my lakefront home in periods of low water. The data is not compelling enough at this time and the alternative solutions are much more viable options.
Raymond LeCesse	Walworth, NY	2012-09-05	The boating season is not only important to us personally, but effects the value of our waterfront properties, which as of late, have been reassessed at ungodly rates. If we have to pay for the priveledge, we should be able to utilize it. Other alternatives must be examined before such a drastic plan is implemented.
Mike Fahey	Groton, NY	2012-09-05	Own Waterfront Property on Sandy Pond
curtis musson	portland, OR	2012-09-06	every body wins except the 1000s of private land owners whom reside on the shores of Ontario.
martin sliva	Pulaski, NY	2012-09-08	The IJC Needs to increase the Minimum lake level From 243.00 @sealevel to 244.00
Joseph Clarkson	Sandy Creek, NY	2012-09-12	I have a camp at the above location and I use a small boat and have great difficulty navigating in low water levels. I spend a considerable amount of time at this camp for the purpose of enjoying boating and fishing. Low water levels prevent me from doing this. I feel this plan will adversely affect the economy in the areas it covers.
Vincent Yanusauskas	Archbald, PA	2012-09-14	I have a cottage on Sandy Pond, Oswego County.
Elizabeth Amorese	Hamlin, NY	2012-09-15	Sailing
Michael Reardon	Fort Lauderdale, FL	2012-09-17	I grew up there and have family on the water
Richard DiVincenzo	Rochester, NY	2012-09-17	As a boater and land owner in a watershed I feel this would destructive to both interest. As is has been fine for many years
henry forget	cicero, NY	2012-09-18	fishing and boating ,historical sights
Karen Verrone	Webster, NY	2012-09-18	I live on the south shore of Lake Ontario and have spent over 40,000 to maintain my shoreline with NO help from any municipality

Name	Location	Date	Comment
John Schwartz	Sandy Creek, NY	2012-09-18	Water is so low,unable to use my boat I pay a higher tax rate to be on the water,that's not there.
Maynard Oehlbeck Jr.	Rochester, NY	2012-09-18	I own a boat and enjoy the Great Lakes ports.The boating season is short enough in NY without constant problems with water depth in our bays and waterways.Boats will not run well using mud to cool the engines,it requires water.
john fraser	endicott, NY	2012-09-19	low water levels in the summer at sandy pond, unable to use, & launch our boat & keep at our dock at sandy pond
Jeannine Fraser	Endicott, NY	2012-09-21	We have a summer home at Sandy Pond New York on Lake Ontario. Our water level was so low this summer , we could not get out to use the lake at all. Lower water levels will drastically hurt the economy of our area
Dyan Andrew	Binghamton, NY	2012-09-21	My family loves Lake Ontario please help us protect its beauty.
Timothy Roy	Webster, NY	2012-09-21	Boating
Carol Slick	Binghamton, NY	2012-09-21	We regularly boat on Sandy Pond and Lake Ontario.
Kathleen Rodzinka	Conklin, NY	2012-09-21	I love Sandy Pond however the low water levels have prevented me from going there on a regular basis and is making it a nightmare for my friends who have a place there.
Willard Lamphere	Sandy Creek, NY	2012-09-21	Improves the economy and recreational boating
Aaron Weed	Middlesex, VT	2012-09-21	Summer home on Sandy Pond
David Martin	Waterport, NY	2012-09-28	I live on the Lake, I want things left alone, the ecology has adjusted to the present Lake leave it alone!
Mark Schallmo	Rochester, NY	2012-10-03	the BV7 plan will flood and wash out my cottage in Sodus NY
Paul Harding	Hilton, NY	2012-10-13	Leave levels under current program for protection against extreme water level damage to my property and to allow seasonal enjoyment of boating access for fishing and recreation.
Anthony Mikiciuk	Hilton, NY	2012-10-14	My yard was demolished last spring,then the lake level was dropped to the point that I could no longer launch my boat.
samuel sansone	hilton, NY	2012-10-15	to avoid flooding of my property
George Axtell	Pulaski, NY	2012-10-16	Propety/Boating/Fishing
Lisa Sansone	Hilton, NY	2012-10-17	I'm a lakefront home owner and don't want my property destroyed by the proposed plan.
C M	Rochester, NY	2012-10-18	Water levels are so low right now, I will be asking the Town of Greece for a property re-assessment
Dr. Edward A. Maruggi	Hilton, NY	2012-10-19	Flooding and losing property
Jason Christopher	Hilton, NY	2012-10-23	This plan doesn't take into account the ramifications to all those effected. The entire community at large will be adversely affected, not just those living directly on the lake shore.
Evon Dunham	Hilton, NY	2012-10-27	If lake levels rise to the upper levels of this proposal numerous historical established neighborhoods will be under water. Payne Beach, where I now live, has over a 90 year history and has had a neighborhood association since 1927 complete with a constitution. This Payne Beach Association is still actively working to improve the quality of life for the residents on Lake Shore Drive. Plan BV7 is a direct threat to all surrounding lake side neighborhoods, parks, and roads.
Rich Miller	Kendall, NY	2012-10-29	Preserve my shoreline!

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Michelle Rings	East Rochester, NY	2012-10-30	My Brother and sister-in-law live on the lake and I fear the impact this would have on him and his home.
Art Kirch	Kendall, NY	2012-11-05	Very Costly!
Deborah Whitt	Rochester, NY	2012-11-11	Let's protect our environment, our water supply, and the residents along the shore. Higher water levels will cause massive erosion and put residents at risk.
Merritt Ackles	Hamlin, NY	2012-11-11	PRESERVATION OF THE CURRENT COASTLINE AND ALL THE PROPERTIES ALONG THIS COASTLINE.
Doug Reeves	San Diego, CA	2012-11-11	Damage to existing coastal structures (roads, houses, sewers, etc.) not paid for or mitigated.
Janet Steehler	Williamson, NY	2012-11-11	I am a property owner on the South shore of Lake Ontario. I have lived here since 1972. I have sustained property loss from erosion over the years. Any higher water levels would be devastating to homeowners.
Agnello Jeanine	Rochester, NY	2012-11-12	I have a cottage on the lake in Hamlin and I worry about the lake front especially after Hurricane Sandy
Tracy Panczyszyn	Kent, NY	2012-11-12	Living in this area for over 20 years, we have already suffered the effects of extreme high and low, have invested in shore line protection, but still fear the impact of another level of extreme high and low water, for such an insignificant sake of cat tails, muskrats, and birds.
William Mackey	Youngstown, NY	2012-11-12	Lifelong resident on south shore of Lake Ontario. Active boater.
Chris Johnson	Rochester, NY	2012-11-12	I live on the south shore of Lake Ontario, higher water would likely damage or even destroy my home.
Lorilyn Sensenbach	Williamson, NY	2012-11-13	I, too, reside on the lakeshore, and know the ferocity of a lake storm.
Michele Raines	Lyndonville, NY	2012-11-14	I live on the south shore of Lake Ontario in Orleans County.
Maxime Pilon	Waterport, NY	2012-11-14	I live here & I want to keep living here
Richard Plukas	Rochester, NY	2012-11-14	Effect of low water levels on boat docking.
Kevin Noon	Hamlin, NY	2012-11-14	My house represents over 50% of my life's savings and it can be destroyed by 7 Please do not raise the lake to that level
Edward Bellnier	Kent, NY	2012-11-15	I pay extra to live on the Lake, I shouldn't have to worry about my land washing away cause of higher highs. Ed Bellnier
Mark Daul	Youngstown, NY	2012-11-15	I have lost and lost and lost land now I'm on the brink. We DO NOT NEED water any higher than it is already! PLUS, wildlife has survived just fine and now some dreamers think they can resolve and restore wildlife and wetlands problems. It should have been thought of when the wonderful idea came up in the 1950's. Engineers and dreamers aren't always right you know. Bet NONE live on the lake front, or even own property they worked for. NONE.
Tom Hughes	Sodus, NY	2012-11-16	I operate a marina and campground with my brother located @ 5003 East Lake Road Williamson NY

Name	Location	Date	Comment
John Fawcett	Barnegat, NJ	2012-11-17	
			There will be considerable damage to businesses and the shoreline of Lake Ontario.
william woodruff	hilton, NY	2012-11-19	As a home owner on the lake I need to ensure my home is not put a risk.
Louise Smith	Hilton, NY	2012-11-25	I am concerned about my home and property being flooded
Maureen Rybak	Olcott, NY	2012-12-14	Hurricane Sandy proves that had the BV7 plan been in place at that time, ALL homes on the south shore of Lake Ontario would have been destroyed to the ruination of thousands of people and damage into the Billions - all to satisfy unrelated Federal Envioronmentalists and their hidden agenda.
Ronald J Bergkessel	Sharon, PA	2012-12-20	I spend all summer fishing up at Lake Ontario, my camper is kept up there all year. I unable to use the launch at Wilson there is no sense of me staying.
Jeffrey Houseknecht	Batavia, NY	2012-12-21	I dock at oak orchard if the levels get this low consistently my dock is unusable
margaret wiley	Kent, NY	2012-12-22	I grew up on Lake Ontario, in the 50's, 60's & 70's. I remember almost losing our home in '73, and some residents who did.
Teri Moore	Sidney, NY	2013-01-28	We live on the lake during the summer months.
Elise Matzky	Rochester, NY	2013-01-28	I don't believe man should regulate water ways for the shear fact for electricity...there are other ways to achieve power..Plus what was in place before the regualtions and control over the waters height, I believe it was nature not man????
Vito Quatela	Webster, NY	2013-02-07	Erosion and loss of boating opportunities. Have spent many dollars to protect erosion but did not plan for this.
cathy simonds-niggli	marathon, NY	2013-02-09	i have a summer home in sackets harbor on the lake
Louis Sanfilippo	Rochester, NY	2013-02-15	Because I sleep on our boat all summer and since this is part of our life, we do not want our waters and land destroyed. I also own 1/30 of a yacht club on Irondequoit Bay and do not want our land damaged.

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Wesley Micket	Penfield, NY	2013-02-15	Lack of mitigation plans for real economic damage.
Louis Roe	Youngstown, NY	2013-03-28	Property owners should have the right to protect the land from erosion.
sean adam	huron, NY	2013-04-10	the water level is so low that I get charged taxes on water front that I cant even keep my boats in the water
Vicki LaValley	Wolcott, NY	2013-06-11	I don not want .constant high waters flood septic systems leach fields
Lyle Porray	Wolcott, NY	2013-06-13	Live on Sodus Bay, recreational reasons
John Rudgers	Rochester, NY	2013-06-20	At the very least this well eliminate the use of the beach I have used for almost 20 yrs. It will also damage all of the surrounding beaches that 1000's of residents in the local city and surrounding areas have used for 100's of years including state landmarks. Worse case which with the levels being proposed I would most likely lose the use of my property all together, along with roadways, sewer drains. This would be a disaster caused by use, not nature. This is wrong and should NOT be passed. I see no reason why the plan that has been in place for a very long time is an issue. IT's NOT. I vote NO. Who is going to pay for all the damage we cause????? New York state will need to pay for not only the damage, but lose of property taxes and possibly individuals moving from this state.
Lynda Morris	Spencerport, NY	2013-06-20	I live on Lake Ontario and I want to save my beach.
Nicholas Voellinger	Rochester, NY	2013-06-21	Lakefront property owner on the south shore of Lake Ontario
Thomas Barlow	Rochester, NY	2013-06-21	south shore riparian
Jim White	Rochester, NY	2013-06-21	I have many freinds with shoreline properties that will be effected in a NEGATIVE manner if the proposed higher lake levels are allowed.
Marsha Enright	Rochester, NY	2013-06-22	my daughter's home would be flooded , if not in the Bay. What are you people thinking of
Donald Fiorini	Rochester, NY	2013-06-22	I'm concerned about my home.
Mary Sergent	Ontario, NY	2013-06-26	Environmental issues involved.
Walter A. Morey, Morey Equipment LLC Marine Contractor	Rochester, NY	2013-06-30	I work on the South shore of Lake Ontario as a marine contractor. This might cut out some of my work but it is better for the people who live along the South Shore.
Gwen Comstra	Hilton, NY	2013-07-01	I live on the lake and have invested all I have to protect my home. If flooding occurs because someone decides we "need" higher lake levels, I lose everything.
graham hyland	rochester, NY	2013-07-01	I live on the south shore lake ontario
Joan Fox	Rochester, NY	2013-07-01	I am a tax payer, property owner along Lake Ontario and most importantly I VOTE....Louise.....much of her funding for reelection coming from the opposing concern on this issue
Gregory Pien	Rochester, NY	2013-07-10	don't flood our homes!
Brett Bell	Rochester, NY	2013-07-10	My parents home will likely become flooded should this measure move forward. They have lived on, and supported their neighborhood for 15 years and have paid exhorbitant taxes in New York. I am hoping some of that support is reciprocated.
Scott Schwallie	Rochester, NY	2013-07-12	I own a cottage in on Lake Ontario in Kendall NY which is 2-30 feet from the shoreline. I never would have considered the purchase if I knew there were plans to raise the lake level
Willaim Donnelly	Pulaski, NY	2013-07-12	Lake levels are destroying our shore line

Name	Location	Date	Comment
John Hayslip	Sodus Point, NY	2013-07-12	To expand the control level of the lake will provide no proven advantage and expose home owners, municipalities and businesses to unnecessary financial exposure with no means of recourse. It is unbelievable that a quasi governmental organization would make such a poor decision.
Ray Mack	Hilton, NY	2013-07-14	Protect my property
Jim Yates	Wolcott, NY	2013-07-15	High water levels causing damage.
Renee Bryant	Rochester, NY	2013-07-16	I have liced down at the lake where the boaters have taken over and their behavior is terrible and aggressively inappropriate.
Gabriele Buonaccorso	Rochester, NY	2013-07-18	We live at White Birch Campground and the water level would destroy the little waterfront we have left.
Barbara Malley	Rochester, NY	2013-07-18	I own property in Sodus Point righ along the shore line, that will be destroyed. The ramifications the lake shore will be significant and will result in the loss of homes and property for anyone on the shore line.
Theresa Fecik	Rochester, NY	2013-07-19	Property values, not to lose property close to lake
steve brazis	punta gorda, FL	2013-07-19	my home town
Laurie Perriello	Rocheter, NY	2013-07-22	a friend of mine could potentially lose her home on the waterfront
mary Stevens	Sodus Point, NY	2013-07-22	We love our sodus point and it would destroy the beaches and recreational areas
Laurie Hayden	Sodus Point, NY	2013-08-05	This is my permanent residence and I love my community.
Gerhard Benz	Hilton, NY	2013-08-14	I am a resident on the south shore of Lake Ontario and will incur tremendous property damage if the lake level rises.
Edith Farrington	Sodus Point, NY	2013-08-16	oppose PLAN 2014 due to the amount of damage it would cause Sodus Point, Sodus Bay, our Public beach, businesses and homes.
Karen DiFiore	Rochester, NY	2013-08-16	I do not want my home and property damaged by this
Melody Winter	Lyons, NY	2013-08-16	This is our favorite spring and summer destination
Janice Stouch	Sodus Point, NY	2013-08-18	High water will do a lot of damage to our houses
christine and phil sanguedolce	Sodus Point, NY	2013-08-19	As homeowners in Sodus Point we strongly oppose Plan 2014.
Robert Carr	Ontario, NY	2013-08-21	I grew up in Sodus Point and believe this action would be devastating to the water fronts.
Jens Rodenberg	Rochester, NY	2013-08-22	I oppose Plan 2014. For many years I have spent much time in, on or near Lake Ontario. At Braddock Bay (near Rochester, NY) and the nearby ponds, the wetlands are thriving and don't look like they need any help. At Sodus Point and Sodus Bay, any decrease of typical water levels will greatly reduce access to Sodus Bay (and nearby bays) and any increase of typical water levels will increase erosion and even flood the properties of many homeowners and businesses. Is this plan purely for the benefit of the St. Lawrence River while neglecting all of Lake Ontario and its connected bodies of water?
Mary Sosnowski	Sodus Point, NY	2013-08-22	In flood zone
Edward Allyn	Sodus Point, NY	2013-08-22	I live on the lake shore at Sodus Point, and though I'm half way between the lake and Great Sodus Bay I'm extremely concerned about the loss of property and habitat caused by the upper limits on water levels in Lake Ontario. I am not beach front at this time but I can see the day when I may be if the current trend continues.
bonnie ocke	macedon, NY	2013-08-22	I am concerned the higher levels will flood our homes.

Name	Location	Date	Comment
James McNaney	Sodus Point, NY	2013-08-23	Should plan 2014 be implemented we will lose our only home to flooding. The governor should make like Noah and save us from certain catastrophe.
R.Scott Converse	Palmyra, NY	2013-08-23	Property owner at Sodus Point
Sue and Bob DeSarra	Henderson, NY	2013-08-26	Our property is on south side of Lake Ontario and can be adversely affected by new lake level proposal
Robert Hayward	Liverpool, NY	2013-08-26	I have a summer home on 7035 Shore drive henderson,NY and and believe this will have a very negative impact on my property only to benefit others financially
Francine Fischer	Liverpool, NY	2013-08-26	Changing the water level will destroy the property of many, many businesses and property owners. How many people will be completely wiped out by the rising water?
Ruth Cerio	Camillus, NY	2013-08-27	When the lake levels are high, and there are storms the sand dunes on the Eastern shore of Lake Ontario are damaged, shore erosion occurs and in some cases flooding for the shoreline property owners. Plan 2014 will increase flooding and property damage to the shorelines. I agree a solution is needed for the water levels for the St. Lawrence is needed, but not at the expense of the shoreline property owners.
anne eastman	central square, NY	2013-08-27	own property on lake ontario
Janet Ramsey	Adams, NY	2013-08-27	I own land on lake ontario
Russell Belcher	Sodus Point, NY	2013-08-27	We need to protect the investment we have in our homes and business
Jill Campbell	Sodus Point, NY	2013-08-28	My family has owned this home for 125 years. We will lose it if this goes through.
Maureen Perry	Webster, NY	2013-08-29	I live on the lake!!!!
Sharon Haney	Rochester, NY	2013-08-29	I live on the lake and would be affected by higher lake levels.
Kim OHara	Rochester, NY	2013-08-29	I own lake property which could be damaged by high waters
Lori Lamey	Rohester, NY	2013-08-29	I'm worried about how it will affect area business and residential properties
Margaret McMahon	Rockport, MA	2013-08-29	I am a former resident of Monroe County, NY, and I am concerned about the devastating effect this plan would have on the south shores of Lake Ontario.
Patricia Boscarino	Henrietta, NY	2013-08-29	save the beach
jack nolan	rochester, NY	2013-08-29	less erousion
Jeffrey Campbell	rochester, NY	2013-08-29	lakeshore property
Virginia St.Denis	Fairport, NY	2013-08-29	Think about the businesses and the people this will affect, please!
Ralph Anderson	Elgin, IL	2013-08-29	We own lakefront property in Ontario, and are anti-erosion.
Tom Willette	Rochester, NY	2013-08-29	I don't agree with raising Lake Ontario water level.
Debra Rein	Rochester, NY	2013-08-29	Land and beach erosion.
Teagan Ward	Rochester, NY	2013-08-29	I have grown up here all my life and have learned a lot from our beautiful Lake Ontario. I enjoy the beaches, parks, and water of the lake almost everyday even in the winter months. I do not want to see anything change.
Michael Cavagnaro	Rochester, NY	2013-08-29	SOME public beaches in Rochester are down to 10 feet. Fourty feet have washed away. Trees are falling into the Lake Ontario where people swim.
Loretta Pratt	Rochester, NY	2013-08-30	I don't want to see the beachfront of many friends' homes destroyed.
Jackie Kane	Glasgow, United Kingdom	2013-08-30	This would greatly affect some very nice folk who happen to live on the lakeside and whom we regard as very good friends.

Name	Location	Date	Comment
sandra pentycofe	rochester, NY	2013-08-30	the loss of business and personal property will be catastrophic. Sodus point will be under water and the sewage system will breakdown, overflow and water will be unusable. People will lose thier homes and cottages. They have worked hard to have these things and enjoy them. Stop the flooding NOW>
Amy Doohan	Penfield, NY	2013-08-30	IF IT IS GOING TO EFFECT HOME OWNERS AND BUSINESSES WITH MORE EROSION THEN DON'T DO IT.
James OHara	rochester, NY	2013-08-30	I own a home on the lake